NATIONAL WEEKLY

Social Justice

Founded 1936 by Father Coughlin

Royal Oak, Michigan | October 21, 1940 | Subscription: Three Dollars Per Year | 10c

ROOSEVELT'S WAR AID DEFIES CONGRESS, VOTERS

(See Page 3)

British Suppressing News Before Election; Want U.S. in Orient

Peace Talks Forecast Outlines of New Europe

Rep. Sabath Defends Communism in Congress

COMMENT ON WORLD EVENTS ★ THE SCHACHT PLAN ★ CAMPING WITH THE JOAD FAMILY

Dinner Chat

Birthday Parties

SOCIAL JUSTICE is happy to publicize some additional birthday parties being arranged in various cities in honor of Father Coughlin.

The Social Justice Club of Rochester, New York, is holding its party on Saturday evening, October 26th, at St. Boniface hall on Whalen Street. Cards, entertainment and dancing are announced. Tickets may be obtained from John Guerinot of 41 Karnes St., chairman of the party, or from Mr. Rudolph Schwenzer, 29 Holbrooke Street, president of the club. Proceeds of a recent picnic were forwarded as a personal gift to Father Coughlin.

* * *

A mammoth celebration in honor of Father Coughlin's birthday will be given by the Central Social Justice Council of Great St. Louis, on October 24th at the American Lodge hall, 4386 Bates Street, at Gravois. There will be a games party followed by a dance and entertaining. For tickets and further information call the chairman, C. F. Ehlen. 5316 Gilson (RI. 9043-J).

* * *

The Tower Club of Bridgeport, Connecticut, announces that the Rev. Dr. Edward Lodge Curran, president of the International Catholic Truth Society, will speak on "Peace and Preparedness" at the Central high school auditorium on the evening of October 24th. This will be the fourth annual birthday party given by this club in honor of Father Coughlin. Tickets may be obtained through the Committee: James F. Whalen, 301 Benham Avenue, (Telephone 3-3317); Mrs. Henry Kelly, 471 Salem Street, (5-9764); Alloy Dalton, 1486 Park Avenue; George Mayo, 250 Willow Street (3-6425).

* * *

The Pilgrim Club of Philadelphia is holding a card party and dance on Friday evening, October 25th, in St. John's hall, 2319 North Front Street. Chairman Michael Gibbons, on behalf of the club, thanks all who helped in any way toward making the recent tour to Royal Oak so successful and invites their co-operation on this occasion.

* * *

A birthday party for Father Coughlin will be held Monday, October 21, at the K. of C. auditorium, State and Sixth Streets, Columbus, Ohio.

* * *

The New York Committee for Social Justice with Mr. James McCarthy of 1155 Woodycrest Avenue, Bronx, N. Y., as treasurer, will sponsor a birthday ball in honor of Father Coughlin, Friday evening, October 25th, in the grand ballroom of Eblings Casino, 156th Street and St. Anns Avenue, Bronx, N. Y., which is two blocks east of the Third Avenue I.R.T. elevated.

Net proceeds of this ball shall be a gift to Father Coughlin.

Tickets may be obtained from the following: James McCarthy, 1155 Woodycrest Avenue, Bronx, N. Y.; Thomas Martin, 264 East 235th Street, Bronx, N. Y.; Geo. E. McCormack, 815 Elton Avenue, Bronx, N. Y.; B. B. O'Rourke, S. S. Agency, 1807 Westchester Avenue, Bronx, N. Y.; Henry T. Blair, 10 Park Terrace, East, New York City; Bernard T. D'Arcy, 19 West 44th Street, New York City.

* * *

A Birthday Ball in honor of Father Coughlin, sponsored by the Christian Front of New Jersey, Inc., will be held at Bohemian hall, 17th street and 9th avenue, Newark, N. J., Sunday evening, October 27th. Tickets may be obtained from Ernest Hawkins, 234 Eastern Parkway, Irvington (Telephone ES 2-8680); William Hammel, 226 Eastern Parkway, Irvington (ES 2-7154); Mary Wilson, 498 Valley Road, West Orange; Wm. R. Dalenz, 109 Broad street, Newark (MA 2-8722); John C. Corbley, 38 Columbia avenue, Newark (ES 2-0314); Fred W. Phelps, 560 McChesney street, Orange, N. J.

16 PRINCIPLES OF SOCIAL JUSTICE

Liberty of conscience and education.
Just living annual wage.
Nationalization of important public resources.
Private ownership of all other property.
Control of private property for public good.
Abolition of Federal Reserve Banking System and establishment of a Government-owned Central Bank.
Restoration to Congress of its sole right to coin and regulate the value of money.
Cost of living maintained on an even keel.
Cost of production plus a fair profit for the farmer.
Labor's right to organize.
Recall of non-productive bonds.
Abolition of tax-exempt bonds.
Broadened base of taxation on basis of ownership and capacity to pay.
Simplification of Government and lower taxes.
Conscription of wealth as well as men in event of war.
Sanctity of human rights preferred to sanctity of property with Government's chief concern for the poor.

SOCIAL JUSTICE. National Weekly. Copyrighted 1940 by the Social Justice Publishing Company. publication and editorial office Royal Oak, Michigan Subscription price. United States and possessions, $3.00 per year. Unless otherwise directed, all subscriptions begin with the current issue. Three weeks are required to effect change of subscriber's address. Give both old and new addresses. Entered as second class matter, March 12, 1937, at the Post Office, Royal Oak, Michigan, under the Act of March 3, 1879 E. Perrin Schwartz, Editor; Cora Quinlan, Secretary-Treasurer.
SOCIAL JUSTICE is fully protected by copyright and nothing that appears in it may be reprinted either wholly or in part, without special permission. The use of articles or quotations from SOCIAL JUSTICE for digest, advertising, promotions and stock-selling schemes is never authorized
Vol. 6 October 21, 1940 No. 17

Pete Congratulates Another Office Beauty

HELLO, FOLKS:

How are ya, my frien's? Fine 'n dandy? Well, that's great. I'm feelin' in th' pink t'day myself f'r a change. I don't know why — guess I'm gettin' usta ruffin' it, so t' speak, 'n can take things in my stride.

Yep, our house still looks like one uv those super-hooper-dooper bombs found a restin' place right in th' center uv it. What with all th' furnitchure all mixed up 'n settin' all around ev'ry which-way, it looks like those pitchers y' see in th' paper these days uv those places that've been blitzkreeged.

Gee, I'll be glad, though, when y' can walk through th' house without gettin' all brused from bumpin' inta stuff 'n walkin' out in th' yard without pickin' up nails like's if y' were a magnut. It's gettin' on Dad's nerves, too, 'n I don't wunder. I herd him sputterin' t' beet th' band th' other day 'bout it — guess he'd bumpt his pet bunyon on th' corner uv somethin' that was where it had no busnuss bein'. He said, among some other things that I'll jus' skip, that he guessed he'd hafta evikt me (whatever that is) 'n set himself up in my house til the kommoshun in his own is over.

Y'know, I think we'da had th' whole projekt over 'n done with if Pal's Boss didn't fancy himself as a arkatekt 'n interyer dekorater. But he does — 'n that's that. Talk 'bout wimmen changin' their mines ofener'n they change their hats — they can't hold a kandul t' that one!

Well, thinkin' that I could stand a littul change uv seenry, I sugjested t' Mr. Coughlin that I'd like a ride up t' th' office. 'Sides, as circulashun manager I thought I'd better give things th' once over. It'd been quite a while since I'd looked over th' reports, too, so it was time I tended t' biznuss ennyway.

So, up t' th' office we went, where I was dumpt off 'n he went on his way — he had somethin' 'r other he hadda do f'r Mother Coughlin, 'n he said he'd pick me up a littul later.

I barked a cuppla times 'n May Schulte let me in. I looked around, 'n lo 'n behold, not a typewriter in th' place was clickin' 'n ev'rybuddy was gathered 'round in a cirkul, laffin' 'n chatterin' away a mile a minut.

I guess I kinda put on an air uv athority 'r looked kinda stern 'r somethin' — it just didn't seem right t' me that there should be so much hilaruty in th' office, 'speshully now that the World's Series is all over 'n done with. But when I found out that they were all biddin' goodbye t' one of our girls who'd been with us years 'n years — long 'fore I was born even, why I entered right inta th' spirut uv things.

Yes, ev'ry once in a while one uv our girls decides t' leeve us 'n enter inta th' holy state uv matramony 'n this time it was our Betty — Betty La Tendresse, who'd made up her mind after a cuppla years t' leeve all uv us she's known so long t' set up a littul nest f'r herself 'n that big hansome fellow I've seen callin' f'r her at 5 o'clock f'r a long time.

I guess they'll hafta live in an apartmunt f'r a while, but they plan t' build a house later on out here in th' country where there's lotsa room t' breeth 'n where Betty c'n have a gardun 'n an orcherd 'n flowers 'n all kindsa things. She said th' door uv her house'll always be open f'r me enny time I c'n get out. Wasn't that nice uv her?

* * *

Well, that wasn't all th' 'citement we were t' have that afternoon. Nosirree — Mr. Schwartz had callers from his home town. (Y'll be thinkin' we don't work here at all, won't y? Well, these things only happun once in a blue moon, so y' don't mind, do y?)

There he was in his littul room, that always looks like a wirlwind struck it, markin' up papers 'n fussin' 'round — he didn't have time t' join th' girls — didn't he hafta get out annuther "best yet" issue uv our littul weekly publikashun? 'Course he did.

Well, when May — she was tendin' door that day — saw these peepul come up th' steps 'n found out that they wanted to see our editor, she said justa minut — I'll see if he's in — 'n she skooted inta tell him he had some folks t' see him, so he popped his teeth inta his mount, put on his coat, straitened out his tie 'n was all set f'r 'em.

So, after May saw that he looked like his wife'd want him t' look 'fore ennybuddy but us who unnerstan' how it is saw him, she marched th' delegashun from Indiana inta his offuce, 'n shut th' door. I was dyin' uv kureosity, but I didn't find out who they all were. I guess they enjoyed their visut, 'cause they laffed a lot 'n I could here Daddy Schwartz talkin' t' beet th' band!

So, all in all, I didn't get enny work done that afternoon, 'n don't know what th' lates' reports are. I'll hafta go back some day this week when things're settuled down 'n ev'rything 'n ev'rybuddy's in th' groove, so t' speek.

In th' meentime, I hope y'll keep us in mind 'n send in a subskripshun now 'n then. We depend on you folks t' keep th' ol' ball rollin'.

Well I must be on my way. See y' next week.

—PETE.

SUBSCRIPTION COUPON

Date_____

Name_____

Address_____ City_____

State_____ Subscription rate $3 a year. Renewal ☐ New ☐

SOCIAL JUSTICE PUBLISHING CO., Royal Oak, Michigan

Comment

On the Road to Mandalay

REPEATEDLY the freedom of the British press has been praised in American newspapers.

It has been described as the last remnant of untrammelled expression surpassed by none save by the press in America.

Recently (August 29), Hilaire Belloc challenged the freedom of the British press, asserting that British journalism, on the whole, has descended to subterranean depths of control.

Describing the English newspapers, in general, Mr. Belloc says:

"Newspapers have been more and more compelled to depend upon advertisement revenue and the advertising managers can only consider circulation, while circulation in its turn depends upon editorial matter, either worthless through self-praise or worthless through the ignorance of the reader who demands it, or still more worthless from the low moral level presupposed in the public by the producers of the stuff.

"It is true that we have certain daily papers (especially in the provinces) of a higher standard; but when we compare these with the best of the continental journalism, even that produced under despotic conditions, the comparison is shameful enough for us.

"In reading our press a man who knows something of Europe and its past feels as though he were living in a fantastic unreal world. Third rate men are quoted as significant European figures. One-half of European culture is ignored, and as a natural consequence each new change in Europe takes us completely by surprise.

"Taking it all around, even in its best examples, the insufficiency of our press today is what most strikes the foreigner and leaves him most bewildered."

Thus we are inclined to conclude that the advertising pound, or dollar, controls the editorial content of the British newspaper.

When we search out those who control the pound — the shipping industry, the financial enterprises, the chain stores and the government—it is not difficult to comprehend what kind of freedom exists in Britain.

Have you paused to observe recently how little news, comparatively speaking, of the battle of Britain is transmitted to America?

For example, on the night of October 10th approximately a thousand German planes—many of them bombers—blasted fifty different sectors of London with incendiary bombs and shrapnel, creating, possibly, the worst havoc of the war up to date!

There is a story behind that—an untold story.

Where was the R.A.F. to impede the coming of this air armada?

What were the casualities in buildings, stored goods and human life?

What effect had all this on the morale of the millions of Londoners who, like moles, took themselves into subways, tunnels, sewers and cellars?

And, finally, how long can this sort of thing be endured by a population deprived of food, sanitation, warmth, sleep and security?

Definitely, there were reprisals. But be it observed, the reprisals did not extend as far as Berlin, Hamburg or Bremen. They were limited to the coastal towns of occupied France—some 22 or 30 miles distant from Dover — towns unoccupied by civilians; towns which already were well flattened by the Germans themselves.

The British press dared not tell the story to the American electorate before the fifth of November for *Great Britain is more interested in retaining Mr. Roosevelt in office than it is in saving the population of England itself.*

The English people will be sacrificed on the altar of false report in order that the new uncrowned emperor of Great Britain—Franklin Delano Roosevelt—will be made secure upon his invisible throne to carry on the program which has characterized the British since the Battle of Plassey in 1775.

* * *

Possibly, before this issue of SOCIAL JUSTICE will have been circulated, the Burma Road will have been opened for the benefit of the Chinese and to the detriment of the Japanese.

To the majority of Americans the Burma Road means little. However, it is the lifeline over which British supplies can pass to China in order that this latter country may pursue its war against Japan.

Why send British supplies to China when Britain herself lacks supplies?

Is not that question pertinent?

If Britain were absolutely sincere in saving England she would not be so concerned with sending food and munitions to the Chinese, when food and munitions are required for the English.

Thus, back of the Burma Road incident, there is that invisible force which has compelled Churchill, who is more British than English, to dice for the salvation of a British economic system at the risk of losing the acres of England.

And, it will be Uncle Sam—as far as the world is concerned—who will join with Britain in this oriental enterprise.

And as far as insiders are concerned, it will be the subversive forces which control Uncle Sam—subversive forces interested in the preservation of an economic system—that will be responsible for moving the capital of Great Britain from London to Ottawa and Washington, and moving this nation of America from peace and to war.

The entire Burma Road situation, so cloaked by the silence of the British and American press, indicates that preparations are under way for Britain to move out of Europe and re-fashion her empire of exploitation in the Orient, all of which will be regulated from Ottawa and Washington combined.

And it will not be surprising if the remnants of the British fleet anchored at Scapa Flow, the Orkney Islands, Malta and Gibraltar, soon will steam through the Panama Canal or around the Cape to join with the American fleet in dealing out one crushing blow to the Japanese navy.

* * *

Stranger policies have been excogitated in the past. When men are not so interested in patriotism but more interested in the preservation of a financial system based on gold, debt and exploitation—when men are thus interested, we repeat, they will risk all —even their native land—to pursue their worship of Mammon.

Apropos to these remarks, it might be said that the contemplated alliance of the Western Hemispherical nations is beginning to take form and fashion.

Unless something unforeseen transpires within the next decade, an effort will be made to amalgamate the destinies of Great Britain and the United States in a new combine in which neither Americans nor Englishmen, necessarily, will rule.

The old appellation of "British" — an appellation which has nothing to do with citizenship or territory — will be good enough to describe the forthcoming set-up.

And rest assured the controlled British press and the controlled American press, together with the controlled British radio and the controlled American radio, will play their part in molding the minds of our people to accept the stone of slavery rather than the bread of liberty.

Index to Comment

'On the Road to Mandalay'; Vote for Willkie! ..... **Page 3**

'Opinion'—Jews and Willkie; Very Appropriate ..... **Page 4**

The Kept Radio; On the Skids; Labor—and War Contracts ..... **Page 5**

Editorials: Roosevelt Should Be Impeached; Willkie Hits His Stride; War Is the Issue; Peace with Justice ..... **Page 6**

Bundists Indicted; C.I.T. — N.Y. 'Herald-Tribune'; Gerson Out at Last; 'PM' Tottering ..... **Page 7**

Bombs-Beds-Bunk-and Water; Somebody Being Fooled; English Viewpoint ..... **Page 8**

Do the People Care? ..... **Page 9**

Outlining the New Europe; Editorial Confusion ..... **Page 12**

The Schacht Plan; Three Blind Men; Rothschild—and the Gold Hoard ..... **Page 13**

Third Term Dictatorship; The Primrose Path; Strain on Patriotism ..... **Page 14**

Rep. Sabath Asks Soviet Alliance; Healy—Bund—and Father Coughlin ..... **Page 15**

Camping With the Joads; Is Rabbi Goldberg Anti-Semitic? ..... **Page 18**

A Birthday Greeting to Father Coughlin ..... **Page 19**

Singapore and the East ..... **Page 20**

Vote for Willkie!

DESPITE Wendell Willkie's notorious "if" — which alienated many of Father Coughlin's followers—SOCIAL JUSTICE is able to say definitely that Father Coughlin will not vote for Franklin D. Roosevelt.

Because the Pastor of the Shrine of the Little Flower is an anti-third termer, basing his conclusions upon the philosophy of George Washington.

Many readers feel inclined to stay away from the polls next November 5th.

But any voter who remains aloof from the polls on November 5th *is casting a vote for Mr. Roosevelt.*

And anyone who does vote for Roosevelt

(Continued on Page 4)

Comment

(Continued from Page 3)

is actually voting, according to our viewpoint, for war within the confines of the Western Hemisphere—and that means England, France, Spain or Africa, as well as South America.

He or she who casts his vote for Mr. Roosevelt is voting against the traditions established by George Washington, and *for* the tradition being established by the Roosevelt dynasty. (See Page 9.)

* * *

Mr. Willkie is a fallible man. He has made mistakes. He is making mistakes. He will make more mistakes.

But Mr. Willkie has repeatedly given evidence that he places recovery within America ahead of foreign affairs.

Supposing Mr. Willkie *is* pro-British: So is Franklin Roosevelt.

But with the induction of Mr. Willkie into office, the personnel now resident at Washington will be ousted and a new administration will clean house.

This means a chance for the nation to experience a "breather."

This means that we retain, at least, the semblance of an opposition to dictatorship.

This means that an experienced industrialist will attempt to do away with class hatred, with Madame Perkinsism, with Harold Ickesism.

Mr. Willkie, certainly, does not stand for the sixteen principles of social justice. As such he does not deserve our *positive* support. At most, he can be the recipient of our *negative* support.

We find ourselves in the position so accurately forecast by Father Coughlin in 1936: We have no candidate for office; we have no opportunity to vote at a free election.

We have, at best, to choose a lesser evil; but matters cannot be worse than they are at present.

Perhaps, Mr. Willkie can get us out of war.

Positively, Mr. Roosevelt will get us deeper into war.

Wendell Willkie is not the politician that Mr. Roosevelt is. If he chooses to use the word "obsolete," we feel that he will use it in the dictionary meaning.

If he says he will not send a boy to fight in Europe, we believe we can rely upon his keeping his word.

Let us not refrain from voting then because our feelings have been hurt.

Our liberties and our properties have a better chance of being saved under Willkie than they have under Roosevelt.

* * *

It was Franklin D. Roosevelt and his administration—together with his supporters —who warred against Father Coughlin and who are now warring against all the sons of all the mothers in the United States of America, with their weasel word "Western Hemisphere"!

'Opinion'—Jews—and Willkie

Opinion is a magazine self-described as "a journal" of Jewish life and letters."

In its recent edition (October, 1940) there appears (page 25) a full-page ad featuring Wendell L. Willkie.

In the ad there are incorporated these words: *"Willkie Repudiates Father Coughlin."*

It is not enough for vote-seeking Willkie to have committed the whopping error of jumping into a glass-bottom swimming tank from which the water had been emptied. To perpetuate that mistake either he or his supporters now pay out hard cash to *Opinion* to advertise, "Come on in, the water is fine!"

As a matter of fact, copies of Father Coughlin's November 20th and subsequent discourses of 1938-39 were placed in the hands of responsible Republicans in contact with Mr. Willkie.

These Republicans were asked to find out where Father Coughlin preached religious or race hatred.

One of these responsible Republicans—not content to rely upon his own judgment —is reported to have asked Father Gannon of Fordham University if, in his opinion, Father Coughlin was an anti-Semite.

This reputable priest answered in the negative.

Most persons recognize that Mr. Willkie is fishing for the Jewish vote which Mr. Roosevelt preserves in his own vest pocket.

It seems to us that the Republican candidate will sacrifice many impartial votes as a result of this stunning ad on his behalf in the *Opinion* magazine.

WITH apologies to Gilbert and Sullivan's popular *"H.M.S. Pinafore,"* the *Pittsburgh Press* delights its readers with the following bit of parody:

I

When I was a boy I served a term
As account exec. of a big ad firm.
I didn't clean the windows nor sweep the floor
Nor polish up the handle of the big front door;
But I polished up my spurs so carefullee
That now I'm a Captain in the Air Armee!

II

In selling ads I made such a name
That Hearst's air editor I soon became;
The Texas air braced me to such a pink
That I stirred the ozone for Texas Network, Inc.
And what I learned about air so suited me
That now I'm a Captain of the Air Armee!

III

As account exec. I showed such bent
That they made me the new vice president.
Soon my voice sailed the ether, like pop's, so bland—
But I gave Brother Garner a great big hand.
Oh, I handed it to him so gallantlee
That now I'm a Captain in the Air Armee!

IV

On atmospheric knowledge I acquired such a grip
That soon they awarded me a partnership.
This aerial experience in partnership, I ween,
Was about the only airship that I ever had seen.
But that kind of ship so suited me
That now I'm the Captain of the Air Armee!

Very Appropriate

ON THE OCCASION of Rosh Hashonah (Jewish New Year) Wendell Willkie sent the following greetings to the Jewish people of America:

"I am happy to send my greetings to the Jewish people of America on the occasion of their celebration of the Hebrew New Year, 5701. I am deeply impressed by an occasion which commemorates more than 57 centuries of recorded experience, reaching back to the roots of our civilization.

"I know what Rosh Hashonah means to the people of your faith. I know that on that day in every land, the Jewish people gather in their synagogues, praying for peace and for the ultimate victory of right and justice. I ask the privilege of joining in your prayers and of pledging to you today that, in so far as it is within my capacity to keep so sacred a pledge, the United States of America will never harbor racial or religious intolerance and persecution. Upon this principle our country was founded and upon it depends the perpetuation of democracy

"God grant that the Hebrew New Year 5701 may bring to Israel throughout the world the same peace and protection that the Jews of our democracy have always enjoyed and shall continue to enjoy in the United States of America."

If the Jews are considered as religionists, Mr. Willkie should say so.

If the Jews are considered as racialists, Mr. Willkie should say so.

But why not send messages to the Catholics, Lutherans, Presbyterians, Mohammedans on their religious feast days?

Why not send messages to the Irish, Germans, Poles, Czechs, French on their feast days?

Mr. Willkie is trying so hard to win the Jewish vote that it is becoming laughable.

After all, there are only 5-million Jews in the United States—that is, before the refugees came.

There are more Irish.

There are more Germans.

There are more Poles.

There are nearly as many Italians.

The peculiar thing is that no one is harming the Jews in America.

And no one plans to harm them.

The peculiar thing is that the Irish would like to be "persecuted" in America the same as the Jews are being persecuted in America.

And so would the Germans.

So would the Poles.

So would the Italians.

These latter would like to be "persecuted" so that they could gain control of the press, the radio, the theater and government.

In fact, that kind of persecution is fine.

In fact, the Irish, Germans, Poles and Italians are all for bigger and better persecutions.

In fact, it appears that the reason they get nowhere in America is because they don't yell loud enough about their being persecuted.

There is more to this Wailing Wall business than most persons realize.

Babies have wailing walls of a different character—and they get what they want.

And from time immemorial tears have been the sharpest weapon employed by the fair sex—and they generally get what they want.

If the other races besides the Jews would only take a lesson on becoming inflicted with the disease of "wailing wallitis," this could be a happier and more prosperous country.

Comment

The Kept Radio

RECENTLY (October 3rd) the Code Compliance Committee of the National Association of Broadcasters released another whopper.

According to this "supreme court of patriotism and morals," the giving or withholding of aid to Great Britain is "a controversial issue."

"As such, under the terms of the Code, broadcasting time should not be sold by radio stations to proponents of either side of the question." (Their words.)

Now that William Allen White and his pro-British committee have got in their pro-war work; now that the air has been filled to the surfeiting point with British propaganda, the "America First" committee, organized to keep America out of European wars, cannot buy time.

The N.A.B. committee, basing its opinion upon a preliminary "look-see" — and without having carefully read the proposed scripts of the "America First" group — is certainly going the limit in dogmatizing upon the morals of patriotism.

And this N.A.B. committee holds itself up as infallible as long as it is in office.

* * *

The question now arises: Who keeps the N.A.B. — who keeps them in office and in information?

Five years ago one would not suspect that those who either own or control the radio chains would have sufficient effrontery to impose their internationalism upon the American public and thus cause their stooges to decide that it is a controversial subject to keep America for Americans.

It is a long road that has no turning.

It is a dead pendulum that doesn't swing back.

The N.A.B. Code Committee definitely has gone the limit in the suppression of free speech until, today, it is one of the most unAmerican agencies in our midst.

And religionists may be interested in reading an excerpt from the Code of the National Association of Broadcasters.

Under the caption of "Religious Broadcasts" there are these words and sentences of the "Supreme Court of Patriotism and Morals":

"Radio, which reaches men of all creeds and races simultaneously, may not be used to convey attacks upon another's case or religion. Rather it should be the purpose of the religious broadcast to promote the spiritual harmony and understanding of mankind and to administer broadly to the varied religious needs of the community."

Re-read that word "broadly."

By following out this admonition of the code authorities, religion will degenerate into a "radio-religion" dominated by those who dominate radio.

And you know who "those" are.

* * *

How different from St. Paul's admonition, which delineated the code for Christian preachers on matters of religion — a code that taught them to preach in season and out of season, and without fear or compromise.

Supposing the Apostles preached a "broadly" interpreted religion, could they have inveighed against the immoralities of the Neros, the injustices of the imperialists and the slavery imposed upon the exploited — all of which were part of the state religion?

It would be a matter of interest to the radio audience of America to discover how many of the owners and operators of the major chains and radio stations throughout the country are 100 per cent attendants at their churches and 100 per cent observers of their church laws.

And yet these upstarts now come into our lives to tell us what may be preached and not preached over the air-waves which belong, not to them, but to the nation at large; air-waves which are merely leased to them at the sufferance of the voting public, indirectly, and of the President, directly.

It is high time for the American people to get wise to the intellectual and religious dictatorship which is rising in our midst.

On the Skids

"WE AREN'T worried, as some are, over the prospect of closer and closer American-British co-operation," says a recent editorial in *Collier's* magazine.

"But we were much worried by the way the 50-destroyer deal was accomplished, and we still are worried.

"If you recall, the President simply notified Congress on Sept. 3rd that the thing had been done. An opinion by Attorney General Robert H. Jackson went along with the notice to Congress, certifying to the deal's legality.

"Congress was casually and cavalierly told that no action on its part was needed to complete the transaction. To state it in another way, Congress was told to take it, like it and keep its mouth shut . . .

"What worries us is this question: Where do we go from this first real act of one-man rule on the part of President Franklin D. Roosevelt?

"If the President gets away unscathed and unscorched with this act of absolute power, there need be no end to such acts, by him or by later Presidents . . .

"Unless ways can be found to restore the Constitutional limits to the powers and ambitions of the Executive branch of Government, democracy in the United States is on the skids."

Labor—and War Contracts

AS MOST persons know, the National Labor Relations Board was established by the New Deal Administration to see that the rights of organized labor were protected, and that the wrongs committed by industry towards organized labor would be punished.

Last week John Lewis, No. 1 man of the C.I.O., sought to have the Government ordain that any employer or corporation unfair to labor would be restrained automatically from obtaining war contracts.

Mr. Robert Jackson, Attorney General, had the whole matter thrown into his lap.

The National Labor Relations Board decision proved to be poison ivy to the Administration.

The decision was considered in favor of organized labor by the Lewis faction; and against organized labor by the anti-Lewis faction.

In fact, when asked to interpret the decision, Attorney General Jackson said that it was wholly erroneous to interpret a recent informal opinion of his as meaning that firms the Labor Board declared to be in violation of the *Wagner Act*, must be denied contracts.

We are informed that William Knudsen will resign his position as industrial co-ordinator if Mr. Jackson and Mr. Hillman cannot get together.

In other words, if certain industrialists are outlawed from being able to receive war orders because of some supposed infraction against the *Wagner Act* (according to the mind of the NLRB), William Knudsen will retire to private life.

War and Navy Department officials indicated their intention to negotiate contracts with firms formerly found guilty of unfair practices by the National Labor Relations Board, as long as they were not found guilty by any other court.

Where does this leave labor?

Where does this leave the National Labor Relations Board?

National defense is being interpreted as more important than organized labor.

And where does this leave John Lewis and the C.I.O.?

* * *

In fact, the whole question has caused such a rumpus in Washington that the investigator is about to be investigated. In plainer language, a full investigation of the Roosevelt Administration's new national defense labor policy was ordered by a House of Representatives committee appointed to investigate the National Labor Relations Board.

Subpoenas have been issued for four high Government officials.

Up to date the National Labor Relations Board have been investigating Henry Ford and other industrialists — and have succeeded in putting them "on the pan."

Now that the tables are turned, the nation — and particularly labor — will sit back to learn the truth about the NLRB's activities.

The Administration's new labor policy already has been denounced by members of Congress as a conspiracy to turn over the national defense program to the "Communist-infested" Labor Relations Board and John L. Lewis' Congress for Industrial Organization.

And it is said that the program of national defense — co-operating with the NLRB — permits the Labor Board to blacklist companies *accused* of violating the *Labor Act* and deprive them of defense contracts.

The NLRB is not a court set up by Government.

It is only a Board.

But it has acted like a court. Its members have acted like judges.

For months it has been a law unto itself, kidding the laborer and kicking the industrialist.

NATIONAL WEEKLY

Social Justice

Published by The Social Justice Publishing Co.

Founded 1936 by Father Coughlin

Roosevelt Should Be Impeached

ON PREVIOUS occasions Congressmen have called for the impeachment of the President.

On those occasions most citizens disagreed with the Congressmen.

At length, however, an event has transpired which now marks Franklin D. Roosevelt as a dangerous citizen of the Republic—dangerous insofar as he has transcended the bounds of his Executive position.

In plain language, without the knowledge or consent of Congress, he has denuded this country of thirty-six flying fortresses, either selling or giving them to Great Britain.

By this action Franklin D. Roosevelt has torpedoed our national defense, loving Great Britain more than the United States.

He has consorted with the enemies of civilization—through the continued recognition of Soviet Russia.

He has deceived the citizens of the United States—telling the newspaper reporters, who are the people's eyes and ears at Washington, that he did not know the whereabouts of these flying fortresses.

He has transcended the bounds of his Executive position—spurning the authority of Congress.

He has invited the enmity of powerful foreign nations—on whose natural resources we depend for essential tin and rubber.

Because he has encouraged the British government to reopen the Burma Road, and encouraged Britain to declare war on the German government, when Britain was unable to care for the English people—he stands revealed as the world's chief war-monger.

All these events, culminating with the transfer of these 36 flying fortresses without the consent of Congress, demand that he be impeached.

For these words this National Magazine invites the lightning flashes of Administration reprisal.

What of it?

In the spirit and the words of Patrick Henry we repeat: *"Give us liberty, or give us death."*

The day for pussyfooting is past.

The Gethsemane days of tribulation and persecution may be at hand.

Let the citizens of America recognize what this Executive has done to the country.

Let them rise in protest—now if ever—against this power-mad dictator who would place upon his own brow the crown of World Messiah.

Willkie Hits His Stride

ONE OF THE MOST telling pronouncements made by Wendell Willkie was released last week in answer to a questionnaire.

Speaking almost directly about President Roosevelt, the Republican candidate almost applied to the President the short and ugly word which rhymes with the musical instrument supposedly played by angels. (And we are not speaking of a harp.)

Summarizing Mr. Willkie's answer, one is inclined to ask the generic question: "Who believes anything Franklin D. Roosevelt says?"

Specifically, Mr. Willkie asks among others, these questions:

1. "Is there anyone so gullible as to believe the President is actually engaged in military inspection trips?"

2. "Does anyone think that the President when he sought to pack the Supreme Court, really thought the members were too old, and if so, how does he account for naming 73-year-old Henry L. Stimson as Secretary of War?"

3. "Is there anybody who believes the President of the United States was drafted to run for a third term, or that the Democratic convention was free and open?"

These questions are reminiscent of similar ones proposed by Father Coughlin in the Summer of 1936. On the occasion of the Townsend Convention at Cleveland the Radio Priest, we recall, also questioned the public veracity of the Chief Executive.

At last Mr. Willkie is hitting his stride.

At last he is beginning to distinguish between the President of the United States and the Democratic candidate for the Presidency—both of which Mr. Roosevelt is at one and the same time.

Timid persons will say: "Tut, tut, Mr. Willkie!"

Courageous persons will say: *"Go to it Wendell!"*

* * *

Now that the President, through unpublicized gestures, if not words, has identified the destiny of the United States with that of crumbling Great Britain, it is the appropriate moment for the Republican candidate to yell from the housetops: *"Roosevelt got us into war, let me get you out of it!"*

"Roosevelt got us into a worse depression whose debts are now unpayable. Let me get you out of it."

We are inclined to think that if Mr. Roosevelt is re-elected for a third term, the United States of America will be in open war up to its chin before another six months will have passed.

And, as predicted by Father Coughlin, and others, more than two years ago, this open war will be fought in a strange manner in the industrial and financial sections of New York, Pittsburgh, Detroit, Akron, Cleveland and elsewhere.

From that tragedy, may God spare us!

And from the tragedy of silence on the part of so many of our industrial leaders, who are more concerned in preserving for the moment their factories, bonds and investments—all of which will be confiscated within two to four years if Mr. Roosevelt is re-elected—may God likewise spare us. And may he give these gentlemen courage during these last two weeks of campaigning to risk their all in order to save what is still solvent.

War Is the Issue

"WHATEVER ONE may think of British propaganda, no man can doubt its success," writes James H. Turner in *America*.

"It has the support of practically our entire press, our Government, our movies, and, slightly less so, our radio.

"We are liable to believe it even when it is false. It is succeeding precisely *because* it is getting itself believed.

"Right or wrong, the cost of that belief is involvement in this war.

"Under the pressure of powerful interests, our Congressmen are voting us, step by step, toward a war we do not want.

"We have little control over the war-making power of the President, but each of us, in a political way, can do something, and soon.

"This is election year. *We can still vote.*

"We had better vote for candidates, regardless of party, who, judged by the record, will have the courage to vote against our involvement in foreign war."

Peace With Justice

BE MORE optimistic, writers frequently urge in their letters to the editor.

"Certainly, somewhere, after this terrible world confusion is over, an all powerful God can bring order out of chaos and even some good out of present evil," reads one such recent communication.

Our latest letter from Dr. J. S. Barnes, whose observations on world affairs have long been familiar to readers of SOCIAL JUSTICE, comes closer than anything we've seen to filling this need for a positive—optimistic, if you will—forecast of international events.

"Come what may, a new Europe is going to arise and it is going to give an account of itself," Dr. Barnes writes. "There will be peace, and this peace can be a real and lasting peace, bringing prosperity with it for the people and a renaissance of the arts and sciences.

"I believe, too," Dr. Barnes adds, "that it will end in being a Christian, i.e., Catholic, Europe with Rome as its spiritual capital.

"The predominant position which Germany and Italy will occupy augurs well for the future," our observer believes. For, as he says, "both these countries possess a universal outlook greater than the other countries of Europe; and, together, they combine the fairest and most creative elements of Nordic and Latin culture."

As Pope Pius XII said early in his pontificate, *"nothing can be gained by war that cannot be gained by peace"* but, as His Holiness always warns, *"there must be peace with justice."*

Comment

Bundists Indicted

WILHELM KUNZE, leader of the German-American Bund, and nine others associated with him, as a result of the Bund's activities at Camp Nordland, Andover, N. J., have been indicted on charges of inciting racial and religious hatred.

Among those indicted were men responsible for renting property to the Bundists where the meetings were held and where the alleged racial and religious bigotry was exploited.

All of which brings to our attention the fact that Madison Square Garden oftentimes has been rented to the Communists.

All of which brings to our mind the fact that the facilities of national broadcasting corporations have been made available to the Communists.

All of which brings to our mind the fact that the United States Government still retains diplomatic relations with the Communists of Sovietland.

Elsewhere it was pointed out that Great Britain went to war against Germany for the latter's invasion of Poland.

Great Britain did not go to war against Russia for the Soviet's invasion of Poland.

The same illogical phobia beclouds the minds of American officials which is indicated in the above two sentences.

As much as we are opposed to racial and religious bigotry, when did it become a crime for any race to criticize another race?

When did it become a crime for any religionist to criticize another religionist?

According to the logic incorporated in the above so-called crime, it will become a crime for Catholics who are opposed to divorce to criticize divorce which is now upheld by the Anglican Church because in so doing they will commit an assault against the President of the country who is a high Episcopalian.

One *can not legislate wrong out of existence.*

In so trying, the results oftentimes become worse than the wrongs themselves.

It is high-time both for Government and citizens to appeal to the virtues of love rather than to depend upon the innocuous force of prisons and stripes.

As long as Jews will regard their racialism as superior to the nationalism of the country in which they live, so long will there be strife within a country's borders.

As long as American-Bundists will manifest more interest in Germany than in the United States there will likewise be strife within our country.

C.I.T.-Herald-Tribune

CONSERVATIVE New Yorkers in the last few months have been greatly shocked by the antics of their favorite newspaper, the *New York Herald-Tribune.*

Presumably a "Christian" paper, the *Herald-Tribune* has already advocated an immediate declaration of war against Germany and, a month ago, appeared with a front-page "expose" of Dr. Westrick, commercial attache of the German embassy, that outdid in scurrility the efforts of the cheapest tabloids.

A week ago, however, the mystery of the *Herald-Tribune* was cleared up when the newspaper published its periodical statement of ownership.

Listed among the holders of more than one per cent of the *Herald's* bonds, mortgages and other obligations was the Commercial Investment Trust.

For those who do not know their way about in Wall Street, it may suffice to say that the C.I.T. is a vast multi-million money lending house which specializes in automobile financing.

Chairman of the C.I.T. is Henry Ittleson, little known but very rich Jewish financier.

On the board of directors of the Commercial Investment Trust one meets such familiar names as Frank Altshul and Louis Strauss, the latter being one of the guiding figures of the international banking house of Kuhn, Loeb & Co.

Now that this news is out, it is to be hoped that *Herald* subscribers in the future will take their favorite morning newspaper fare with a "dash of salt."

"Christian" newspapers do strange things when they fall prey to the financial octopi of international Wall Street.

Gerson Out at Last

SIMON GERSON, Communist Party member, assistant to Borough President Stanley Isaacs of Manhattan, has resigned just as a court hearings were about to begin on a petition to remove him.

Readers of SOCIAL JUSTICE Magazine were apprized of the circumstances of the Gerson appointment two years ago.

"The resignation," says an editorial in the *Herald-Tribune*, "offers a sorry commentary on Mr. Isaac's initial blunder in appointing Gerson, and his stubborn refusal over two years to admit it and rectify it.

"The Borough president repeatedly sought to justify himself on the ground of personal loyalty and of principle and one must respect his moral courage, but a man in his position owes an obligation to the city to save it from avoidable irritations which compromise its own standing and the cause of good government.

"He must have known that his wholly gratuitous selection of Gerson, the Communist, would raise the row it did at the time, and with the growing unpopularity of Communists he must have seen that the issue raised, far from being forgotten, was accumulating steam . . .

"Gerson," the editorial continues, "is an avowed member of the Communist Party and, as he says, proud of it. The question of his fitness for office hinged entirely on this fact. Its judicial determination, therefore, would have been of great value to the community since the party itself rather than Gerson would have been the defendant.

"Is it a party which advocates or seeks the violent overthrow of the Government?" asks the *Herald-Tribune.*

"Everyone not a Communist would prefer an official answer to the present babel of contradictory assertions on this point with its involvement of the *Bill of Rights.*

"The suit brought to compel Gerson's ouster promised to supply such an answer."

PM Totters

THE CASE of *PM*, New York's afternoon tabloid, proves that the ordinary American, in spite of his corrupt politics, is still sound in mind and body.

Last June, as France was on the verge of collapse, Publisher Ralph Ingersoll, backed by money from the well-filled purses of such people as Marion Rosenwald Stern, started a journalistic enterprise that quickly set an all-time low in American newspaper history.

Named *PM*, its initials soon came to stand for pro-Marx, Poison Mud and Post Mortem, the latter term more nearly fitting its present condition.

Staffed by a gang of Communist Party members and Jewish "liberals," the tabloid showed its true colors with its first edition, which contained a bitter attack against religion and the Catholic Church.

From then on, it proceeded from bad to vile.

The class war was pursued in the best European tradition.

Americans like Col. Lindbergh, Henry Ford and James Mooney of General Motors were attacked as anti-Semites and Nazis.

Communists were never criticized.

Editorials appeared from the smirking pen of Mr. Ingersoll asking, "What terrible things must happen before the American people rise up in their anger against the Nazi menace?"

To satisfy the clamor of New York bellicists, *PM* became the most ardent advocate of American involvement in the European war, though never having the courage to say so openly.

Great "exposes" were run, purporting to show that Father Coughlin was in the pay of Germany.

Finally, a series of articles about life in contemporary Naziland was featured—articles that outdid the old stories about Belgian babies in atrocity appeal.

The author was Richard Boyer, a former contributor to the *New Masses* and fellow traveller of the Stalin Fifth Column.

In three months *PM* has run through the gigantic sum of $1,500,000 and its cash box is empty as Mother Hubbard's cupboard.

Circulation is only a bare 100,000 — the paper needing 200,000 merely to break even.

Just in the nick of time, a rich "angel" appeared in the person of Marshall Field III, grandson of the Chicago Department Store founder.

Mr. Field offered the following reorganization plan:

Stockholders would be permitted to get rid of their stock at 20 cents on the dollar; he, Mr. Field, would put up $500,000 to keep the paper going.

But as part of his bargain Mr. Field stipulated that Mr. Ingersoll's five-year contract should be allowed to lapse.

This doesn't mean, however, that Ingersoll will quit as publisher, since Mr. Field, an hysterical aid-to-Britainer himself, shares the same belief as *PM's* chief war-monger.

But Mr. Field's action and the news of *PM's* near financial collapse clearly points out that filth, in its pure and unadulterated form, does not pay, even in New York.

The American people can be corrupted and beguiled by their press, but not outraged.

Comment

Bombs—Beds—Bunk—and Water

TO READ certain editorial accounts, one would be inclined to believe that John Bull's jaw is set, his head up and his heart defiant.

But all's not well in John Bull's backyard.

For example: Although many persons — three and four years ago — recognized that, if war came, it would fall heaviest upon the civilian population, yet the British government failed to provide for civilian safety.

With the accustomed hypocrisy of optimism, John Bull told his public that nothing could happen to England. The British navy would see to that.

And the same Old Boy well knew that Germany surpassed England in bombers 3 to 1.

During the past fortnight, England — particularly London and Birmingham — has suffered the worst bombing imaginable.

Thousands are dead. Thousands are wounded. Schools are closed. Metropolitan business is at a stand-still. In fact, London is an aimless, hopeless, ravaged city.

And all's not well with the morale of the Londoners.

In the subways — comparable to those in New York — hundreds of thousands of poor persons are billeted, using the tubes as bomb-proof shelters.

A United Press dispatch (September 26) says:

"Down on the subway platform, safe from bombs, Ethel, slim and fair, turned restlessly as the baby whimpered.

" 'The baby wants a drink, Joe,' she said.

"A pasty-faced youth raised on one elbow, gazed down the platform, the common bed for more than 1,000 other subway dwellers, and signalled the water boy, who fetched the drink for sixpence (12 cents).

"Ethel changed the baby's diapers and then the family and its neighbors went back to sleep. Next to Joe an old man stroked his white beard, which hung outside the blanket. Next was an old woman asleep in a Buddha-like squat. Foul, hot air blew down the tracks. From overhead came the dull roar of bombs and guns.

"This was Strang Station at 10 p. m.

' ' '

"At Charing Cross Station, the platform was sprawled with intertwining legs, arms and heads, that edged over into others' laps. The hot stench of bodies blew up from the tunnel to the street. On the steps a sleeping little girl sobbed, evidently in a nightmare.

"At Camdentown, deep in the slums, they were sleeping on the subway escalator. It has about 100 steps and seems to be steeper than the one of the subway station near Third Avenue, on 42nd Street, New York. Every step was occupied. On side stairs others were fighting their way down with bedding, water bottles and food. It was difficult to get in or out. I managed to reach the bottom, and saw red-faced children stretched out along its great length. One was crying. The lavatories were two floors up and crowded.

"Handbills had been pasted surreptitiously, reading: 'You have taken shelter in the tubes, quite rightly, too! . . . The rich have safety funk-holes in the country . . . Sit tight and refuse to leave the tubes until they build bomb-proof shelters. Your labor and pennies built the tubes.' (Signed) The Communist Party."

' ' '

Bombs have paralyzed England.

Beds are the cold, damp subway tubes.

Bunk is the chief commodity of export through our press releases.

And even drinking water is 12 cents a shell.

How long the common people of London will stand for this hopeless, meaningless atrocity before they return to their slums, their regimentation and their taxation is your guess as well as ours.

Somebody Being Fooled

WHEN HITLER made his last offer of peace to the English people, press dispatches from London informed the American public that "the speech was printed in full in the English press."

Several days later, according to a story in the *San Francisco Leader*, German airplanes began to drop pamphlets on Britain containing the Hitler speech and excerpts from it.

"British police, air wardens and other officials of that sort put in sleepless nights and days hunting up those leaflets for the purpose of destroying them, or otherwise putting them out of circulation," the story continues.

"Query: If the British press had already printed Hitler's speech, why were the police at such pains to keep those leaflets from the public eye?

"The British constabulary," the paper adds, "were only partially successful. One Briton got hold of a lot of the leaflets and mailed them to her friends. Another peddled them at so much apiece and applied the receipts to some local community purpose.

"The demand for those leaflets is another indication that all is not so serene in John Bull's island home as what his politicians and publicists would have us believe.

"The English people, although the most stupid and gullible in the world, are not absolutely devoid of human intelligence," concludes the *Leader*. "It is barely possible that such a palpable incongruity has finally bored its way into whatever it is that serves them for a brain."

English Viewpoint

THE *Weekly Review* (London, September 12th) publishes an article entitled *"Principalities and Powers."*

The article calls for a clear, concise exposition of British war aims.

Evidently, the British, as yet, do not know why they are fighting and against whom they are fighting.

To corroborate the above statement, we read:

"The essential of this war is that it is against Germany, it began when Germany foully and treacherously attacked Poland. At the same time we have to remember that Poland was even more treacherously stabbed in the back by Russia. Of the two murderers we are only at war with one which shows that even at the beginning influences have been at work attempting to divert our war effort into the service of an ideology which has nothing whatever to do with true British interests and much to do with those of internationalisms fathered in Moscow and Jewry. It is interesting to note, week by week, those things which are allowed to appear in our ordinary press, and, more significantly, those items systematically excluded with an unanimity which suggests an organized direction."

Thus, Britain is at war against one murderer and not against the other.

She fights Germany for invading Poland but will not fight Russia for invading Poland.

And this does not make sense.

And the article emphasizes that the people, despite their free press, are not being told the truth.

Even British Tommy has a tummy-ache trying to digest home-brew propaganda.

All of this leads us to ask why our own country is still on kissing terms with Moscow and the Communist regime.

The *Patriot* (August 29, 1940), another British publication, which is considered anti-Semitic, writes concerning the death of Trotzky as follows:

"Somewhat unexpectedly the press notices of the death of the Jew Trotzky have been less eulogistic than might have been looked for in these days when it is so customary to put all forms of subversion on a level with patriotism, and it cannot be forgotten how, some time back, the B.B.C. extolled Lenin, Trotzky's criminal partner, as a hero, and glorified revolution and Bolshevism. One evening paper did write of Trotzky as "The Red Napoleon," and then went on to say that "with Lenin he built the new Russia from the ruins of Tsardom," thus implying that it was the Tsar and not the criminal Bolsheviks who had brought Imperial Russia into the dust. Such writing is simply pitiful.

"It was a largely Jewish camarilla which brought about the revolution in November, 1917, and it was Trotzky's part to create the Red Army, on which the whole Lenin scheme of governing a nation of slaves absolutely depended.

"With Lenin, too, he started in December, 1917, the Cheka, which was rechristened Ogpu in 1921, and which by the end of that year had murdered more than 1¾-millions of Russians, including 355,000 'intellectuals,' 260,000 soldiers, 192,000 workmen and 815,000 peasants. It is a grisly record and has, of course, been largely added to since that date.

"Trotzky, it may be remembered, was in New York in 1917, and it was Jewish influence which secured his safe passage to Russia which he declared he was going to 'rule.' In a trial of strength with Stalin in the autumn of 1927, Trotzky came off worse, and since then he had been a wanderer on the face of the earth. He met his end at the hands of an assassin, but out of hearing of the execration of a nation whom he had tormented."

The Roosevelt Record

Do the People Care?

The United States News — Washington journal — is a publication privately owned and edited by David Lawrence.

Recently this able editor wrote an article entitled: *"Supposing Mr. Roosevelt Is Elected for a Third Term!"*

In 26 paragraphs, Mr. Lawrence outlines the record of our Chief Executive:

"The election of Mr. Roosevelt in 1940 would prove:

"1. That hereafter any President can set himself up as the candidate to succeed himself for a second or third or even a fourth term, using the powers of his office, the influence of his own appointees and the far-flung authority of the Federal Government itself, its favors and its privileges, to win an election — and the majority of the American people really don't care.

"2. That a President can incur upwards of $25-billion in Federal deficits with no sign of a balance between receipts and expenditures — and the majority of the American people really don't care.

"3. That a President can ignore the spirit and the letter of the *Constitution of the United States* by attempting to control the Judiciary, publicly denouncing their decisions and proposing additions to the court for the admitted purpose of producing decisions desired by the Chief Executive, finally appointing five justices from his own entourage who give us a partisan court — and the majority of the American people really don't care.

"4. That a President can appoint as a justice of the Supreme Court of the United States a member of the Ku Klux Klan who had taken an oath of allegiance to an organization which made religious and racial bigotry its slogan — and the majority of the American people really don't care.

"5. That a President can keep millions of Americans unemployed for seven years, throttling capital expansion and blaming every other factor but his own administration — and the majority of the American people really don't care.

"6. That a President can use the power of his office to see that rubber-stamp Congressmen in his own party are nominated for the House and the Senate to do his bidding, notwithstanding the fact that the Congress is supposed to be a co-ordinate branch of the Government — and the majority of the American people really don't care.

"7. That a President may boldly seek votes by establishing partisan relations with one class of voters and turn over governmental boards and bureaus to personnel friendly to such classes or groups without regard to the principles of fair play in public administration, as, for instance, in the National Labor Relations Board, the Securities and Exchange Commission, the Federal Communications Commission — and the majority of the American people really don't care.

"8. That a President may not only campaign for the re-election of a rubber-stamp majority leader in Congress but can permit the public funds of the W.P.A. to be used to influence such a senatorial election — and the majority of the American people really don't care.

"9. That a President can permit a governmental board or agency to be judge, jury and prosecutor, impairing the practice of a fair and impartial hearing so deeply imbedded in the democratic system — and the majority of the American people really don't care.

"10. That a President may tolerate the issuance of a Democratic party campaign book deriving funds unlawfully from corporations and at the same time permit copies of such a book containing his own autograph to be sold to corporations in violation of the letter and the spirit of the Federal *Corrupt Practices Act* — and the majority of the American people really don't care.

"11. That a President may use the power and influence of his office to conduct a political campaign and naively classify his speeches as 'non-political,' irrespective of their deliberate purpose to make votes and create cleavages in the body politic favorable to his cause — and yet the majority of the American people really don't care.

"12. That a President may allow his officials to refuse to aid the work of a committee of Congress investigating subversive activities for two years and then calmly denounce such subversive activities without acknowledging his own administration's obstructive hostility to the work of such a congressional committee — and the majority of the American people really don't care.

"13. That a President may ask his Attorney General to write a legal opinion permitting the Executive to violate the *Statutes of the United States*, tricky language being invented supposedly to justify such an opinion, as, for instance, when the words of the statute forbidding the transfer of American vessels of war to any belligerent were distorted — and the majority of the American people really don't care.

"14. That a President may be fully forewarned by all the secret data and reports of our army and navy intelligence services concerning the nature of the preparations for war in Europe — beginning more than two years ago and at least a year before the present war did break out — and do nothing substantial to build up our air forces so that we have less than 750 first-line combat planes to defend America — and the majority of the American people really don't care.

"15. That a President may accept the support of corrupt political bosses in pivotal states to get his nomination — and the majority of the American people really don't care.

"16. That a President in times of peril in the world may permit Cabinet portfolios of major importance to be occupied for months at a time by absentee officials, tolerating friction and negligence — and the majority of the American people really don't care.

"17 That a President may make 90 per cent of the appointments to the Federal Judiciary from his own political party instead of from the ablest lawyers in the country — and the majority of the American people really don't care.

"18. That a President may permit members of Congress to secure allocations of public funds for their districts and states in such fashion as to give the electorate the impression that political pull in Washington determines the disbursements of relief money and public works projects — and the majority of the American people really don't care.

"19. That a President may seek to influence the action of supposedly independent and quasi-judicial commissions consisting of his own appointees — and the majority of the American people really don't care.

"20. That a President may see his own appointees engaging in lobbying in violation of Federal statutes and do nothing about it — and the majority of the American people really don't care.

"21. That a President may delay the building of essential plants needed for national defense because he refuses to take the leadership in removing the principal obstacle — a settlement of the amortization problem — so that months of precious time are wasted when our defenses are critically deficient — and the majority of the American people really don't care.

"22. That a President through his own appointees may exert his influence to prevent the selection of any candidate for the nomination of either President or Vice President except those of his choice — and the majority of the American people really don't care.

"23. That a President may refuse to debate the issues of a campaign with his political opponent or to answer directly major criticisms of his stewardship, insisting that he is so busy with national defense that he cannot engage in a campaign — whilst he carries on political conferences at the White House and invites local Democratic politicians to parade with him on 'defense inspection' trips — and the majority of the American people really don't care.

"24. That a President may refuse to appoint a co-ordinator or chairman of the National Defense Commission in defiance of the advice of the experts who have for years been studying industrial preparedness for war — and the majority of the American people really don't care.

"25. That a President may permit his own appointees on the defense commissions such as Mayor LaGuardia of New York to engage in politics in his behalf — and the majority of the American people really don't care.

"26. That billions may be spent without a detailed accounting, that budgets can remain unbalanced indefinitely, that big industries can be taken over by governmental coercion in time of peace, that the truth about our lack of defense can be camouflaged and distorted by Government propaganda agencies, and that left-wing Communists can occupy important places in the national administration — and the majority of the American people really don't care."

Mr. Roosevelt's record — if he is re-elected in the face of it — will prove that records count for little in the minds of the majority of voters.

In fact, if Mr. Roosevelt is re-elected, it will offer some proof to sustain the proposition that American democracy is not worth saving as it exists in this "era of the New Deal."

SCHOOL PUPIL CAN WIN $3,000.00

GREAT 'AMERICA FIRST' CONTEST A RACE FOR ALL AGES



Whether you are a grown person or a school or university student, there is a nice purse of THREE THOUSAND DOLLARS waiting you as winner of the Grand Prize in our popular "AMERICA FIRST" Contest.

But, in order to win $3,000.00, you must do more than come out on top at the end of the Contest.

YOU MUST ENTER THE CONTEST DURING THE FIRST FIVE WEEKS.

Three of those precious weeks have gone. Only two remain in which you can enter and win a DOUBLED PRIZE.

For, remember, the first six of those handsome cash awards are DOUBLED for winners who enter NOW or during the first five weeks.

If you are a school pupil, think what you could do with THREE THOUSAND DOLLARS in cash. Your university education would be assured. You could build or buy a home. You could put the money away until you are ready for a business career. Think what you could do with it!

Of course there is a second DOUBLED PRIZE of $1,600.00; a third DOUBLED PRIZE of $1,000.00; a fourth of $600.00; a fifth of $400.00 and a sixth of $200.00.

ALL OF THESE PRIZES ARE DOUBLED FOR THOSE WINNERS WHO ENTER THE CONTEST NOW. READ THE PRIZE LIST OVER TO THE RIGHT — ALSO VIEW CHECKS WON BY EARLY ENTRANTS IN OUR LAST CONTEST.

You can enter TODAY and bring yourself up to an even par with every other contestant.

On this page we are printing Questions No. 5 and No. 6 for those who already are in the Contest.

We are also reprinting the first four questions which appeared—for your convenience.

You have only to fill in your answers to the six questions on this page and send them in with 30 cents in coin. You are then a full-fledged contestant.

You will immediately be sent a beautifully illuminated copy of the *Constitution* of the United States, suitable for wall decoration.

You know the history of our great country as well as the next one. You will be glad of the chance to study up on the *Constitution* —and you'll have weeks to do it. So, go ahead and enter NOW.

Win for yourself $3,000.00 in cash. You can do it as easily as any other contestant.

QUESTIONS FOR THIS WEEK

QUESTION No. 5

Which President Made Construction of the Panama Canal by the United States Possible?

1. President Arthur.
2. President Cleveland.
3. President McKinley.
4. President Theodore Roosevelt.
5. President Benjamin Harrison.
6. President Taft.
7. President Harding.
8. President Coolidge.

QUESTION No. 6

What Is the Meaning of the Expression "The Solid South"?

1. That the Southern States are purely agricultural.
2. That the Southern States were solid in their support of the Confederacy.
3. That the Southern States are traditionally Democratic in politics since the Civil War.
4. That the Southerners have always favored secession from the Union.
5. That the Southern States are solidly Anglo-Saxon.
6. That the Southern States are solidly Protestant in religion.

Fill in This Coupon and Mail With 10 Cents in Coin

Make your selection by number from suggestions under each question. Write clearly the number in the little square.

No. 5 For Question No. 5 I select Answer No. ☐ No. 6 For Question No. 6 I select Answer No. ☐

Name_____

Address_____

Town or City_____ State_____

EXTRA COUPON FOR SELF OR FRIEND

Fill in This Coupon and Mail With 10 Cents in Coin

Make your selection by number from suggestions under each question. Write clearly the number in the little square.

No. 5 For Question No. 5 I select Answer No. ☐ No. 6 For Question No. 6 I select Answer No. ☐

Name_____

Address_____

Town or City_____ State_____

BEGIN HERE WITH No. 1 AND No. 2

QUESTION No. 1

Why Did the Pilgrims Migrate From England to New England?

1. To found Catholic colonies in the New World.
2. To aid British international trade.
3. They were political rebels against the English king.
4. To escape from religious persecution in England.
5. To establish the freedom of democracy in America.
6. To prospect for gold.

QUESTION No. 2

Which Was the Decisive and Terminating Battle of the Revolutionary War?

1. Yorktown.
2. Saratoga.
3. Bunker Hill.
4. Camden.
5. Brandywine.
6. Long Island.

Fill in This Coupon and Mail With 10 Cents in Coin

Make your selection by number from suggestions under each question. Write clearly the number in the little square.

No. 1 For Question No. 1 I select Answer No. ☐ No. 2 For Question No. 2 I select Answer No. ☐

Name_____

Address_____

Town or City_____ State_____

EXTRA COUPON FOR FRIEND OR RELATIVE

Fill in This Coupon and Mail With 10 Cents in Coin

Make your selection by number from suggestions under each question. Write clearly the number in the little square.

No. 1 For Question No. 1 I select Answer No. ☐ No. 2 For Question No. 2 I select Answer No. ☐

Name_____

Address_____

Town or City_____ State_____

QUESTION No. 3

What Foreign Nation Rendered the Greatest Measure of Aid to the Colonies in Their Battle for Independence?

1. Russia.
2. Italy.
3. Prussia.
4. France.
5. Holland.
6. Belgium.

QUESTION No. 4

To Which Political Party Did George Washington Belong?

1. Republican.
2. Whig.
3. Federalist.
4. Democratic.
5. Know-Nothing.
6. Tory.
7. United Empire Loyalist.
8. Socialist.

Fill in This Coupon and Mail With 10 Cents in Coin

Make your selection by number from suggestions under each question. Write clearly the number in the little square.

No. 3 For Question No. 3 I select Answer No. ☐ No. 4 For Question No. 4 I select Answer No. ☐

Name_____

Address_____

Town or City_____ State_____

EXTRA COUPON FOR SELF OR FRIEND

Fill in This Coupon and Mail With 10 Cents in Coin

Make your selection by number from suggestions under each question. Write clearly the number in the little square.

No. 3 For Question No. 3 I select Answer No. ☐ No. 4 For Question No. 4 I select Answer No. ☐

Name_____

Address_____

Town or City_____ State_____

'What Man Has Done, Man Can Do'—
Harvest of Big Checks Go to Early Entrants

Rules of the Contest

1. The *"AMERICA FIRST" Contest* is open to all readers of SOCIAL JUSTICE Magazine, except employees or members of their families.

2. The contest shall consist of 50 questions on the history of the United States and the *Constitution* of the United States and shall run for 11 consecutive weeks beginning the week of October 7. Two questions each week for 10 weeks and all 50 questions on the 11th week.

3. A Grand Prize of $1,500.00 will be awarded the contestant returning the best or most appropriate answers to the 50 questions and otherwise complying with the rules. Contestants submitting the next most nearly correct answers and otherwise complying with the rules shall be eligible for the additional prizes in the order of their correctness.

4. In order to qualify as a prize-winner, the contestant is required to send in 10 cents in coin (not stamps) with each pair of answers, or a total of $2.50 with the 50 answers. For these remittances the contestant will be sent without further cost a handsomely illuminated copy of the *Constitution* suitable for wall decoration.

5. We cannot take into account solutions without signed names and addresses. Neither can claims to their ownership be recognized. We cannot be responsible for coupons arriving unduly late or lost in the mails. By entering the contest the contestant agrees to accept as final the decisions of the judges as to procedure and final outcome.

6. In event of ties, additional questions will be submitted. In event of final ties, after two such submissions, duplicate prizes will be awarded.

7. Do not decorate coupons. Neatness will not count.

8. Any inquiry, the reply to which could not affect outcome of the contest, will be acknowledged.

9. A contestant may send in any number of sets of duly qualified answers and each set will be judged as a unit, but no individual may win more than one prize. If submitting more than one set of answers, the contestant is required to keep them distinct by designating them "Set 1," "Set 2," "Set 3," etc. Each submitted set shall entitle the contestant to the illuminated copy of the *Constitution* referred to in Rule 4.

Address All Contest Mail to
"CONTEST MANAGER"
SOCIAL JUSTICE
Royal Oak, Michigan

Study the checks at right. They were paid as DOUBLED prizes to winners in our recently concluded *"MEN AND MONEY"* Contest.

They were paid as DOUBLED prizes because those winners entered the contest during the first five weeks.

Notice the check for $5,000.00 paid to Mrs. McCabe, of New York City. This lady entered the contest when it started. If she had delayed until after the doubling "deadline" had passed, her prize would have been only $2,500.00.

See those two checks for $2,200.00 each issued to Mr. Peter Farrell, of Kaukauna, Wisconsin, and to Mrs. R. O'Connor, of Owosso, Michigan. These two contestants were tied for second place and graciously agreed to divide the sum of the second and third cash prizes. But, if they had delayed their entrance into the contest, each would have received only $1,100.

Notice the checks for $325.00 issued to Mr. Peter McCabe, of Elmhurst, Long Island, and to Mr. Albert Regan, of Lawrence, Mass. These two contestants tied for the fifth prize of $300.00 and one of the $25.00 prizes. They agreed to split and both had entered during the first five weeks. Therefore, each received $325.00.

These facts are important to you as a participant in our *"AMERICA FIRST" Contest* now starting.

The first six prizes in this great patriotic contest are DOUBLED for winners who enter during the first five weeks. Read over the list of prizes. See how this works out.

If you have been in former SOCIAL JUSTICE contests and did not win, remember that you came within a few answers of winning. Remember this, and persevere.

Enter early so that, in the event of victory, your cash prize will be DOUBLED. Enter the contest now.

260 Readers Must Win

		If You Enter Early
GRAND PRIZE	$1,500.00	$3,000.00
Second Prize	800.00	1,600.00
Third Prize	500.00	1,000.00
Fourth Prize	300.00	600.00
Fifth Prize	200.00	400.00
Sixth Prize	100.00	200.00
Seventh Prize, four cash awards of $25.00 each	100.00	100.00
Fifty prizes of $10.00 each	500.00	500.00
Two hundred prizes of $5.00 each	1,000.00	1,000.00
TOTAL	$5,000.00	$8,400.00

Look Over These Instructions

The following questionnaire will give you complete information on the purposes and procedure of the great *"AMERICA FIRST" Contest*:

1. WHO MAY ENTER THE CONTEST?

Ans.: Any reader of SOCIAL JUSTICE Magazine or any friend or relative of any reader, except employees of the magazine or members of their families.

2. WHAT IS THE SUBJECT MATTER OF THE CONTEST?

Ans.: The first 20 questions shall be based upon the history of the United States. The last 30 questions shall be based upon the *Constitution*. Each question shall be accompanied by several suggested answers, most of which will be incorrect answers to the question. One of the suggested answers to each question will be the best or most appropriate. It is the task of the contestant to select what he considers the best or most appropriate answer.

3. HOW LONG WILL THE CONTEST LAST?

Ans.: It will open in SOCIAL JUSTICE of Monday, October 7. Two questions will appear each week for 10 weeks. On the 11th week all 50 questions will appear. Ample time will be given for answering, but, in order to win a doubled prize, the contestant must enter during the first five weeks.

4. WHAT DOES THE CONTESTANT RECEIVE FOR HIS REMITTANCE?

Ans.: Immediately the contestant enters he or she is sent a beautifully illuminated copy of the *Constitution* of the United States, suitable for wall hanging. This will also enable the contestant to study the *Constitution* before he or she is confronted with questions based on that great document. Answers to the first 20 questions may be found in any history of the United States.

5. HOW DO I MAKE MY CONTEST REMITTANCES?

Ans.: You send to the Contest Manager 10 cents with each weekly coupon bearing two answers for 10 weeks. That makes $1.00. On the 11th week, when all 50 questions appear, you remit the remaining $1.50. That makes a total of $2.50 for the entire contest. If you wish, you may remit in full, $2.50, and thereafter send in your coupons without further remittance.

6. IN ORDER TO QUALIFY FOR A DOUBLED PRIZE, HOW MUCH MUST I HAVE REMITTED FOR THE FIRST FIVE WEEKS?

Ans.: You must have remitted five cents for each of the first ten questions—or 50 cents in all for the first five weeks.

A Forecast of Peace Terms

Outlining the New Europe

IN LAST WEEK'S edition of SOCIAL JUSTICE certain principles of the peace likely to be imposed on Europe by the Axis powers were discussed, as well as certain clarifications regarding the situation which would be produced immediately following a military defeat of England.

The present comment deals with territorial changes.

Based upon long and close observations of international conditions at first hand, on the part of this Magazine's European correspondent, the following may be regarded as either certain, or at least highly probable.

Spain will receive Gibraltar, while the whole of Morocco, including Tangiers, will be united under a culturally and administratively autonomous government, in the closest political, economic and technical association with Spain — such relations being regulated by special treaty.

Italy will receive the County of Nice, or the greater part of it, the frontier starting from the mouth of the river Var; and there will be strategical readjustments of the Savoy frontier in favor of Italy.

The latter will also receive Malta, Tunisia, Djibuti, British Somaliland, the Island of Perim, the Island of Socotra and its adjacent islands — all, of course, in full sovereignty.

Egypt will receive complete cultural and administrative autonomy. No foreign armies will any longer be stationed on her soil and she will have fiscal independence. But Italy will receive the whole of the Sudan in complete sovereignty, together with the greater part of the Sinai peninsula — all, in fact, except a small corner in the north around Suez.

Egypt's political relations with Italy will necessarily become intimate and will doubtless be regulated by a treaty, which will insure to Egypt her "life blood," namely, the water from the upper reaches of the Nile. Italy, in return, will be assured her vital communications through the Canal.

It will be *a treaty of mutual trust and friendship.*

Italy has no intention of "annexing" Egypt nor even of imitating England's present position in Egypt (a disguised Crown Colony). At last, Egypt will be able to build her great sub-soil reservoir, projected by an Italian engineer. The English would not allow the construction of this great work, as it would render Egypt virtually independent of the hydraulic works controlled by the English high up in the Sudan.

* * *

The Suez Canal will cease to be a private (Rothschild) commercial monopoly. It will be placed under a European board of management and, after the payment to Egypt of a fixed annual rent, the net profits will be divided among the users in proportion to the tonnage passing through the Canal.

Palestine, eventually, will become part of the Roman Empire. The King of Italy already holds the old title of "King of Jerusalem." This title will be confirmed. He will become the trustee of the country's character as a land sacred to the four great Western religious groups, namely: Christian and Catholic, first; Christian and non-Catholic, second; Jewish, third; and Mohammedan, fourth.

The Holy Land will be divorced from all nationalist aspirations whether Italian, Jewish or Arabian.

For the rest of Arabia, the general principle of "Arabia for the Arabs" will, doubtless, be accepted. Guarantees, however, will be required to insure the outlawing of extra-European political and economic influences or intrigue.

This may mean that, until such time as peace with the "rump" of the British Empire is signed, and an understanding with the United States arrived at, the temporary occupation by European armed forces (mainly Italian) of Aden, Basra, and key positions at the entrance of the Persian Gulf.

Permanent guarantees will also be required for the protection and autonomy of the Christian and Druz populations of Syria, for the general maintenance of law and order, and for the particular protection and maintenance of the oil fields and subsidiary plant (pipe lines, refineries, etc.) within Arabian territory. Italy will have the controlling interest in these oil fields.

Probably, the eastern frontier of Palestine will be slightly extended up to the top of the mountainous ridge on the far side of the Dead Sea and the Jordan. This would give Palestine a stronger frontier, guarantee future plans for any great hydraulic works in the Dead Sea rift and include the old Roman city of Petra within the Roman Empire.

Finally, as far as Italy is concerned, she will receive in full sovereignty the desert "Northern Frontier Province" of Kenia, the desert hinterland of Tunisia and Libya, which has a certain importance for the caravan routes from the interior to the Mediterranean seaboard; French Equatorial Africa, which provides a continuity of Italian territory between Libya and the Sudan without crossing Egypt, and affords considerable quantities of land suitable for the growing of rubber; and, finally, a strip of Algerian territory up to and including the Philippeville - Constantine - Biskra - Touggourt railroads and caravan routes.

Thus, the western boundary of the Roman Empire in Africa will run roughly from a point on the Mediterranean coast between Philippeville and Bougie, to a point just west of Touggourt and thence across the Sahara to Lake Chad. From here it will continue in more or less the same direction until the river Ubangi (the big tributary to the river Congo) is reached at a point a few miles south of the town of Bangui.

The southern frontier of the empire will continue along the present northern boundary of French Congo, Belgian Congo and Uganda as far as Lake Rudolph, whence it will run down the center of this lake and along the river Tana to the sea.

So much for Italy.

* * *

Germany will annex Alsace and the greater part of Loraine, Luxembourg, the districts of Malmedy and Eupen, Danzig, the "Corridor" and those parts of Posen and Silesia, which she has already incorporated into the Reich.

Russia will be confirmed in her new frontiers from the Gulf of Finland to the Black Sea.

Poland — i.e., what remains of Poland — will be given a status similar to that at present enjoyed by the Czechs — that is to say, cultural and administrative autonomy under a German governor.

Slovakia will be united economically to Hungary, where her natural markets lie, but will continue to enjoy the protection of Germany as an autonomous State.

The frontiers between Hungary and Roumania, resulting from the Vienna arbitration award, will become permanent; as likewise, the new frontier in the Dobrudja between Bulgaria and Roumania.

Germany, also, as far as Europe is concerned, will require from Jugoslavia a readjustment in favor of her frontier. There are still at least 400,000 Germans living just on the "wrong side" of the present frontier, and a readjustment could easily be devised which would actually improve the frontier from the geographical point of view. Jugoslavia will also have to consent to a number of minor sacrifices of territory to Hungary and Albania.

Cyprus will be accorded to Greece; but the latter will have to give Dedogatch to Bulgaria, together with the strip of territory through which the railway runs from this Aegean port into Bulgaria.

Ireland will be united, and thereby probably reconciled at last to Great Britain.

* * *

Coming to the question of the German colonies, all French territory — for example, French Congo and the Cameroons — between Nigeria and the Belgian Congo will, of course, become German. So also Kenia colony (except for the "Northern Frontier Province," which goes to Italy), the Islands of Zanzibar and Pemba, Tanganyika, Uganda and the whole of Belgian Congo itself.

Thus, Germany will possess a great central belt of African territory stretching from the Atlantic to the Indian Ocean.

Next week, your commentator will discuss further changes which might be classified as desirable and quite possible.

Editorial Confusion

THE CURRENT political campaign has witnessed the somewhat novel technique of what might be called editor-on-leave journalism.

Col. Frank Knox takes a leave of absence from the *Chicago Daily News*, which he still owns, to hold a post in the New Deal Cabinet. In the meantime his newspaper, with some Kuhn, Loeb financial stooges on the board, campaigns for Willkie, President Roosevelt's opponent.

Secretary of Agriculture Henry Wallace is "editor on leave" of *Wallace's Farmer*, which continues to attack the war mongering of the Third Termites, on whose ticket Mr. Wallace is running for Vice President.

And now the executive editor and his assistant take leaves of absence from the *Chattanooga Times*, for the duration of the campaign, so the paper can support Willkie. Col. Julius Ochs Adler, Anglophile publisher of the *New York Times*, gave the order, it is said.

Says the *Philadelphia Record:* "The political plight of the *Chattanooga Times* reminds us of Stephen Leacock's Lord Ronald who 'flung himself upon his horse and rode off madly in all directions'."

Does it not become the least bit apparent that all this editorial confusion is *intentional?* Or is this wild burst of press "freedom" just one last fling before it dies forever?

Comment

The Schacht Plan

HAVING in mind the German ideal of an economic union in Europe, and the ominous reality of the British blockade and impending famine in the conquered countries, Joachim von Ribbentrop has made the radical suggestion that Italy and Germany, acting in union with Spain and Portugal should impose the *Schacht Plan* for economic and monetary union on continental Europe.

The adoption of the plan appears to be the axis partners' only means of combatting the British blockade and the Pan-American embargo.

The *fascistern* is haunted by the specter of an Anglo-Pan American military, economic and financial union.

Originally, the *Schacht Plan* was an ideal for post-war pacts made possible by an axis victory. However, the axis diplomats figure that the only means of safeguarding their victory throughout the Winter and Spring months will be the adoption of the proposed Schacht financial union now.

* * *

Over a hundred years ago, France proposed such a union for the Latin nations, thus to write off the debts of the Napoleonic wars and to safeguard French gains. However, the "union" never advanced beyond the stage of a mere plan and customs agreements.

Hjalmar Schacht, the originator of the *zollverein,* scrip marks and barter agreements, invented the ideal of a continental financial union designed to destroy the power of the Bank of England.

Here again we see an evidence of a titanic financial rivalry, recently suggested in SOCIAL JUSTICE, as behind all this drama of warring nations and dictators. That is to say: one set of powerful financialists directing Hitler and the axis, the other (Rothschilds) back of the Bank of England and her willing ally, the United States of America.

According to this continental plan the German and Italian central banks, now closely associated with the respective governments, will act as clearing houses and central banks for the "union."

Operating through the banks of the conquered nations, the German Reichsbank and the Italian Banca will so dominate the financial, commercial and economic life of the conquered nations that the central banks of these nations would operate as their satellites or agencies.

Thus, the Nazi-Fascist bank will own the gold reserve, securities and investments of the conquered nations, notwithstanding the fact that the bankers of these nations had already transferred their mobile wealth to America when invasion seemed certain.

Thus, all the fixed assets and investments of the conquered nations and satellite banks would be confiscated to create credit for the axis partners, when and where actual acquisition of wealth is impossible.

* * *

Through their central banks the warring governments, now impoverished by war and preparations for war, can write off billions of debt through a domestic inflation of the currencies and credits of conquered nations.

These central banks can issue scrip currency for use within the continental union and stabilize the artificial value of this manufactured money.

Of course, the inflation and stabilization, together with commissions, will result in the steal of billions from citizens of the nations in the union, especially when their wealth is represented in gold, foreign securities or credit based on foreign investments and securities.

What Schacht did in Germany to create the wealth necessary for preparing for war —some $35-billion—and in the nations doing business with Germany, the *Schacht Plan* will now attempt on a larger scale for continental Europe—even in Soviet Russia with whom Germany has a trade agreement and whom she can isolate from all commerce.

Spain and Portugal have been invited to join the "union" in order to write off the losses of the civil war in Spain and war losses in Portugal.

The financial giants of the *fascistern* point out that if Spain and Portugal agree to enter the "union" their central banks and holdings will be the spearhead of the financial and commercial attack against the countries of South America.

Thus, the financial moguls behind the axis hope to destroy British trade with South America, now safeguarded by the United States and the *Havana Agreement,* until it can be resumed after the war through the Anglo-American alliance.

Furthermore, the *Schacht plan* would destroy the pan-American cartel set up in theory at least by the Havana Conference for hemispheric defense, and thus counteract the Anglo-American financial *entente,* which aims to defeat the axis powers through blockade and embargo.

* * *

It is interesting to note that Germany and Italy now hold the controlling directorates in the Bank of International Settlements at Basle, through the expediency of seizing the voting rights, as well as the assets of the conquered nations in the bank.

With the votes of the Scandinavian countries, France, Belgium, Holland and Poland, the *fascistern* is in a position to use the Bank of International Settlements for its own purposes and thus force a voting minority, represented by America and England, to withdraw.

The Nazis already are exerting pressure on Switzerland and Sweden, especially the former with its gold reserve, to enter the Schacht "union."

Although the axis partners did not announce that they had officially considered and adopted the *Schacht plan,* it is reliably reported by persons in a position to know that the plan is soon to be put into operation as a counteracting agent to the Anglo-American-South American union, which has been transformed from an economic cartel to a quasi-military agreement involving Atlantic and "hemispheric" defense.

Three Blind Men

A LONDON dispatch informs us that three blind men may soon be employed as roof-top "spotters" to signal the approach of enemy raiders at night.

That's not exactly news.

Great Britain has had a fixed policy of employing blind men to manage its political destiny.

All of which is a bit reminiscent of a Scriptural reference to the blind leading the blind.

Rothschild— and Gold Hoard

FROM TOULOUSE, France, a United Press correspondent cabled a story (September 26) which is classified in the "human interest" category.

It deals with Baron Maurice De Rothschild.

He writes:

"Secret Service agents were reported to have discovered and seized gold bars, coin and securities worth 500 million francs (approximately $10-million) which Baron Maurice De Rothschild, noted international financier, was said to have hidden in a wall of his chateau at Argeles-Gazeat in the Pyrenees before fleeing from France.

"The agents, acting on an anonymous tip, tore down newly plastered walls in the chateau, which is only a few miles from the Spanish frontier.

* * *

"A few days before the armistice Baron Rothschild arrived at his estate with several trucks from another of his estates. It was presumed that the trucks were loaded with personal possessions which he hid in the walls of the chateau.

"Baron Rothschild's fortune and those of Edouard, head of the French branch of the family, Philippe, Robert, and Henri De Rothschild, were ordered seized and their citizenship withdrawn by Marshal Henri Philippe Petain because they fled the country as the German army approached.

"A Paris report told of another move against the Rothschild family. It said that a Seine court had ordered seizure of all real estate and personal holdings, as well as bank accounts, jewels, and paintings in the great Paris museums belonging to the family.

* * *

"The Rothschild family history can be traced back to a certain Elchanan, at Frankfort, Germany, in 1546. Isaac, a son of Elchanan, was responsible for the family name, for when he built a house he marked it with a red shield.

"In those days Jews were forbidden to have family names, but each household was distinguished by a mark or shield of particular color. When names were allowed, families eventually took their names from these marks. Thus the red shield became 'Rothenschild' and eventually Rothschild.

"For many generations the Rothschilds continued as money-changers and by the time Meyer Rothschild was born in 1744 the family had acquired sufficient fortune to be well-off. In changing monies, certain valuable old coins were set aside and the firm soon branched into numismatics.

"Meyer Rothschild was ambitious and used his entree to obtain German nobility in 1816, a modest 'von' being inserted in the name. Not satisfied, he tried harder and in 1822 the family was accorded baronical rank out of appreciation for financial favors.

"Meyer's eldest son, Amschel, remained in charge of the Frankfort bank, but the four other brothers were sent abroad, each to found a new branch of the family. Nathan went to London; Salomon to Vienna; Charles to Naples, and James to Paris, each to start extensive families in the various countries.

"Maurice De Rothschild is a grandson of James.

"When war came to France with the invasion of Nazi legions, Baron Maurice fled to Scotland."

Comment

Third Term Dictatorship

BAINBRIDGE COLBY, Secretary of State under Woodrow Wilson, told a Senate subcommittee recently that "the weightiest contribution to the argument against a third term . . . is the contribution made by Franklin D. Roosevelt.

"By his course as a Presidential candidate prior to the nominating convention in Chicago, his direction of its proceedings and his subsequent actions as its third term candidate, he has contributed a perfect illustration of every danger and evil which Jefferson foresaw so clearly and against which he warned his countrymen so earnestly."

Mr. Colby said that Mr. Roosevelt has "availed himself of the power of the patronage at a President's disposal" to force his renomination.

Oswald Garrison Villard, former editor of the *Nation*, told the same committee that the "road to a dictatorship is open," unless the American people repudiate any attempt to obtain a third term.

The Primrose Path

WRITING UNDER the above title in the *Baltimore Sun* (September 29), H. L. Mencken brilliantly unfolds the following essay:

"The ordained moo-cows of this great Republic are still doing a lot of pathetic lowing about the perils of democracy, but not many of them, I venture to opine, really believe in the thing itself, and even fewer have any confidence that it will last. The shadows of the morgue, indeed, are already on it.

"In more than half the civilized nations of the earth it has been repudiated as contemptuously as witchcraft was repudiated in a previous age of enlightenment, and faith, hope and charity is an age yet earlier. Even in this, its Holy Land, it is down with something that is plainly much worse than a mere heartburn or ringing in the ears.

"I presume to lament the fact more or less, for, though I am by no means a fancier of the sort of democracy that we have had since the Hon. Mr. Roosevelt and his gang of snide archangels came down from Heaven, I believe that there was some merit in the form concocted by the Fathers.

"There were no less than three good ideas in it as they turned it loose, which was two more than you will find in most of the other dreams of mankind. The first was the idea of equality before the law, the second was the idea of free speech, and the third was the idea that government should be an agency of strictly limited powers.

"It would be hard to think of plausible arguments against any of these notions. They were both sound and smart, workable and humane. The first converted justice, which is the basic concept of all human society, into something closely resembling a reality; the second greatly promoted the security of the citizen, encouraged him to be his own man, and made him feel important; and the third offered him protection against the pillage and plunder of professional politicians, his natural enemies at all times and everywhere.

* * *

"Unhappily, two of these ideas are now out of the window, and the third seems likely to follow very shortly. Certainly no one would argue that No. 3 on my list has any more force and effect.

"The powers of the Federal Government have been increasing steadily ever since Jackson's time, and in our own day they have become virtually unlimited.

"At the beginning of his term the Hon. Mr. Roosevelt gave a sort of lip service to the *Constitution*, if only by protesting against its limitations and laying plans to remove them; today he simply ignores them. Congress, despite an occasional murmur, no longer resists his constant invasion of its prerogatives, and the Supreme Court, reduced to the role of limber jenkins, finds bad law and worse logic to sustain his most cynical usurpations.

"Nor is much left of No. 1. In the ordinary courts, in litigations between plain citizens, a certain amount of equity is still stirring, but that is certainly not true in cases to which the Government is a party, nor is it true in any of the star chambers that have been set up to work what is called 'social justice.' The essence of this social justice, as it appears in practice, is simply a scheme to buy the vote of A by destroying the constitutional rights of B. In brief, it is an effort to get rid of equality before the law — and no one familiar with the proceedings of the new quasi-judicial agencies can doubt that it has succeeded magnificently.

"There remains No. 2 — the right of free speech. It still survives in theory, but only in theory. Of what practical value is it when the highest authority of the state is used to defame and terrorize all opposition?

"What, actually, is its value to a plain citizen when any attempt to exercise it exposes him to the danger of being denounced as treasonable and ignoble, and perhaps even harassed by the Federal police? How can he utter his thoughts freely when the slightest show of heterodoxy may doom him to slander and ruin?

"Nor is this the end of the matter. We are still at peace, at least in the eye of the law. Once the war that the Hon. Mr. Roosevelt is now carrying on *de facto* becomes war *de jure*, all that remains of free speech will vanish as certainly as it vanished under Wilson, and the rights of the citizen in that department will be reduced to the right of howling *Ja*.

"Simultaneously, the raids upon No. 1 and No. 3 will be stepped up stupendously, and the whole Bill of Rights will go into the National Museum, perhaps to remain there forever.

"The effort of the Hon. Wendell L. Willkie to upset him (Roosevelt) in the full tide of his popularity and puissance is obviously coming to grief, and no wonder. Like any other hero, he could have been beaten in his prime only by an even grander and gaudier hero, which is to say by a more brazen demagogue, a crasser quack. Willkie is quite unfit for the part. He is apparently willing enough to play it, but he has no talent for it. What the Republicans needed was a hurricane; what they got was an electric fan.

"His defeat, which promises to be complete and ignominious, will return his party to the doghouse, and Roosevelt's victory will convert the Democratic party into a mere rabble of me-toos, every one with his hand out. Ideas have vanished from the campaign, and both candidates are whooping the same dismal nonsense. Thus we move toward totalitarianism in fact if not in name.

"The United States becomes indistinguishable from Roosevelt, just as Germany is indistinguishable from Hitler, Italy from Mussolini, Russia from Stalin, France from Petain, and the Latin-American 'republics' from their xanthous despots. Such is 'social progress.' Such is the doom of democracies."

* * *

Pertinent to the thoughts expressed by Mr. Mencken, is the following time-table of dictatorship which appeared recently in the *Congressional Record* (September 20).

Here are the steps which led to dictatorship in France, Germany, Italy, Mexico and the United States:

The steps	France	Germany	Italy	Mexico	United States
Extravagant public works	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes.
Debase currency	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes.
Concentrate power in executive	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes.
Undermine independent judiciary	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes.
Excessive subsidies	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes.
Government by decree	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes.
Encourage subversive forces	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes.
Impose confiscatory taxes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes.
Restrict private investments	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes.
Unbalance budget	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes.
Impose planned economy	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes.
Foster class conflicts	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes.
Control of banks	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes.
Destroy morale of industry	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes.
Excessive borrowing	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes.
Enlarge bureaucracy	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes.
Huge national debt	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes.
Pile up hopeless deficits	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes.
Conscript Army	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes.
Nationalize industry	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	?
Regiment farms	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	?
Regiment labor	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	?
Dictatorship	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	?

Strain on Patriotism

"BY AUTHORITY of nobody but a lot of political cheer leaders, the theme song of a radio performer is being forced on the public as another national anthem," writes W. A. Markland in a recent edition of the *Detroit News*.

"Maybe, sometime, some audience will revolt and stick to its seats about the fifth time the band strikes up '*God Bless America*' and members of the audience are asked once more to rise and pour out their hearts in patriotic fervor.

"There are a lot of people who remember and respect the place held by the '*Star Spangled Banner*'," the writer observes. "It is possible to stretch patriotic emotion so far that it gets mighty thin in spots, particularly when the obvious objective is *votes*."

That's what we've been telling the boys down at the office!

Asks U. S.-Soviet Alliance

Rep. Sabath Defends Communism in Congress

CONGRESSMAN Adolph J. Sabath of Chicago, must be credited with the courage of his convictions, if nothing else. Few men would dare to defend Communism on the floor of Congress, but Mr. Sabath did.

An ardent New Dealer, oldest member of the House in point of service, and chairman of the powerful House Rules Committee, Sabath urges closer friendly relations between the United States and the murderous Stalin regime.

He sees in the Soviet a potential war ally of the United States.

But don't get Mr. Sabath wrong. Although he wants to court Stalin and Communism, he abhors militarism, dictatorships and oppression of minorities. He hates Nazi-ism, Fascism, "Jap-ism" and anti-Semitism.

He patriotically believes the United States should take a strong stand against all these purveyors of injustice—all, that is, except Stalin.

The fact that Sabath was born in Czechoslovakia of non-Gentile parents may account in some measure for his feeling in this regard.

Germany, Italy and Japan, Sabath told the House, "seek the destruction of the United States and dominance over the entire world." The "democracies" he said, must unite against this threat, bracketing Soviet Russia alongside the United States as the enemy of aggression and totalitarianism. To maintain our democracy, he asserted, we should cultivate the "friendly co-operation" of the godless Soviets.

Whether Mr. Sabath was releasing a trial balloon for the New Deal politicians, or whether he spoke only his own sentiments is difficult to say. Perhaps his urgent suggestion was a "feeler," to be followed by positive action on the part of the administration. Such procedure is quite the mode in Washington nowadays.

SOCIAL JUSTICE has no sympathy for aggression or persecution, whether it be perpetrated by the Nazis, the Fascists, the Japanese or the British Empire.

But neither do we condone or forget the anti-Christian terrorism on which the rulers of Red Russia rode to power. Mr. Sabath obviously does, although he proclaims his hatred for dictatorships and oppression.

To Mr. Sabath it all depends on who commits these crimes against humanity, and who the victims are. If the barbarous, godless Reds murder millions of Christians, dispel God from their country, send agents to every corner of the earth to foment world revolution—then that's perfectly all right with Mr. Sabath.

He says we should grasp their hands in a bond of close friendship in order to ward off the "enemies of democracy."

* * *

Certainly after his 17 terms in Congress, Mr. Sabath must know something of the Marxian plan for turning the world into an atheistic shambles. Everybody else does.

If he does know these things, Mr. Sabath is not concerned about them.

He is terribly perturbed, however, about the Nazis and Fascists. Why? Because they're undemocratic, of course. Besides, they've done mean things to certain minorities and Mr. Sabath could never countenance such behavior.

Now as for the murder of Christians and the aggressions of Soviet Russia—that's a different matter. The Soviet is a great democracy. What's a few million martyred Christians between friends?

"Why should not the United States try to cultivate the good will of the Soviet Republics?" Sabath asked the House recently.

Anyone broaching such a question, inserting "Germany" for "Russia," is promptly called a "fifth columnist" by men of Sabath's ilk.

The great champion of democracy then proceeded to defend, apologize for, and applaud Communism.

Inferentially he gave his benediction to it, saying, "Obviously, the Fascists and capitalist group of this country dislike the Russian form of government, but that should not be our national concern. Russia has always been friendly toward the United States, notably during the Civil War; and she does not need our assistance. She is and will be able to take care of herself; therefore, why should we not cultivate and promote friendly commercial relations with her?"

Russia, says Sabath, would come in handy if we were ever obliged to call Japan's bluff.

"I know there are critics of Soviet Russia and its policies," said Mr. Sabath, without including himself. "Investigation will reveal, I sincerely believe, that a whole lot of the criticism against Russia is due to Nazi and Fascist propaganda. That is one of the subtle tricks of the leaders of these two 'isms.' They conduct all kinds of subversive activities and then try to escape detection and blame by pointing their fingers at the Communists."

There's something! Mr. Sabath says the Reds are "persecuted." They're being "smeared" by the Nazis!

"I concede there is a certain school of misguided Communists," continues Comrade Sabath, "but I will say this for them, *"they work in the open, which is absolutely opposite to the fifth column methods of the Nazis and Fascists.*

"Communists have a political party. They conduct their campaigns openly within the law. The candidates place their names on the ballots under the name of the Communist Party. They address the people publicly over the radio. Thus we know where to find the Communists but not the cowardly vassals of the madman Hitler. Their method is to work unobserved in the dark."

* * *

There you have the sentiments of the chairman of the House of Representatives Rules Committee: Communists are upstanding, forthright persons who would never stoop to sabotage or subversive activities.

In this great, free country of ours, Communists address the nation by radio, preaching their Marxian doctrine clothed as "democracy."

However, Father Coughlin, the Christian priest, is denied the radio to preach Christian social justice.

Mr. Sabath is partially correct. The Reds do come out in the open a great deal—*as a result of protection granted them by the administration, to which the Rules Chairman is so fervently attached.*

No one but Mr. Sabath, however, would deny they have an army of underground workers carrying on for the dear old world revolution. Judging by his remarks in the House, Mr. Sabath is well qualified for enlistment in that army. Any "comrade" could direct him to headquarters.

Sabath spoke of Hitler's invasion of Poland, his capture of Czechoslovakia, Danzig, Memel, *et al.* But not a word of Stalin's snatching half of Poland, his crushing of the Finns, his submission of Latvia, Esthonia and Lithuania! And, of course, nothing of those millions of murdered Christians in the old Russia.

No, Mr. Sabath is not concerned about those trivialities, or about the Communist plan for world revolution, chaos and atheism.

"Regardless of what the Nazi, Fascist, or capitalistic groups in the United States may say about Russia," he concludes, "I reiterate that the best interest of the United States will be served not by criticizing and assailing Russia but by taking just the opposite course and seeking her friendly co-operation."

Healey—Bund—and Father Coughlin

IN A SERIES of articles by Raymond Joseph Healey appearing in the *Daily Times* of Chicago, there is incorporated a pertinent story (Sept. 17, 1940).

Healey told of the establishment of a paper named *The Key*.

Said he: "In the third issue we carried a two-column cut of Father Charles E. Coughlin, with the legend:

" 'Priest and Patriot, We of the Gentile Workers Salute You!'

"I'll admit now that this was done without Father Coughlin's knowledge, although I had had friendly correspondence with him.

" 'Through the efforts of William D. Pelley, Rev. Father Coughlin, George W. Deatherage, James True and others,' the lead story said, 'the New Deal has been exposed for what it really is, a Jew deal to de-propertize the Gentile populace'."

* * *

It is important to note that this was done without Father Coughlin's knowledge.

The "friendly relations" Healey had with Father Coughlin were these:

He came to see the Royal Oak priest, as do thousands of persons in trouble.

He was without funds.

His wife was ill, and about to bear a child, so he said.

Immediate medical help was necessary.

The Radio Priest, as a plenitude of evidence can demonstrate, arranged through some of his helpers to get help for the distressed wife.

And for this act of charity, is he a Bundist?

By the same token, the Radio Priest extended wide-spread help to thousands of New Deal unemployed victims in the Detroit and other areas.

Does that make him a New Dealer?

Some of them were Protestants.

Some of them were Communists.

Some of them were Negroes.

Some of them were Irish.

And what conclusion could one draw, now that Mr. Healey has admitted how the name of the Radio Priest was used insidiously in connection with the Bunds to defame him—and without his knowledge?

Letters

(SOCIAL JUSTICE aims to make this page a free forum and readers' opinions are invited. Naturally, the views expressed are not always those of this magazine. We cannot guarantee that contributions sent to SOCIAL JUSTICE can be returned to the writers. Letters not signed with the writer's name and address cannot be considered, although the name will not necessarily be used. We reserve the right to publish all letters received unless contrary instruction is specifically given.)

America First

TO THE EDITOR:

When the whole town is burning, wise folks do not run about but stay at home and put out the sparks that threaten our own home. And just so when the whole world is aflame, why not stay at home and put out the sparks that will destroy us, such as Britishism, Communism, Naziism, unemployment, and a rotten money system.

Inflammatory speeches and acts of favoritism are like kerosene poured on the flames and certainly do not calm the flames or bring back peace and prosperity.

Since that seems our Administration's policy, let us vote them out — except those that have proven balance and the desire to remedy our own troubles.

L'Anse, Mich. —Mrs. F.S.

Politics Before Truth

TO THE EDITOR:

In these days, when a whole world is in a turmoil, when man seems to have lost all confidence in the very things for which our Saviour gave His life, there is no more vital necessity in the re-establishment of a just social order than the dissemination of the truth, through the medium of a Catholic press.

For three years there has been a young man attempting to do this, Sunday after Sunday, rain or shine in front of Holy Rosary Church, Gunhill and Eastchester Roads in the Bronx.

He carried the *Catholic News*, *Tablet*, and SOCIAL JUSTICE. At no time did this young man interfere with the parishioners and for quite a period just mentioned some outstanding feature which appeared in these papers. Recently, he has just called out the name of the periodicals.

Being interested in these matters, I have been watching this vendor for some time. I was amazed several Sundays ago on two different occasions to see him accosted by individuals who unjustly berated him and prefixed the name of the Rev. Chas. E. Coughlin with an epithet that no gentleman would use and which is unfit to print.

Further investigation developed that these men, presumably the thirty-five minute a Sunday Catholics, were members of a local Democratic organization and seemed to be more interested in political matters than the essentiality of dispensing Christian truth. Several hours thereafter a pastor told this young man not to sell any more papers at that church.

The young man sent another to replace him this Sunday, assuming that probably some people disliked him individually, but apparently he was misled, as a police officer was called to have the new seller removed.

The first young man then asked if they did not want Catholic papers sold in front of the Church any more and he was advised that such was the case.

Bronx, N.Y. —Disgusted

Keep Cool—Think!

TO THE EDITOR:

The war arguments are increasing in number and in vehemence.

A few months ago the average man maintained a more or less strictly neutral attitude; you will now find him taking one or another side in this war. And some of them get pretty "hot" under the collar when discussing it.

This, of course, is not so good for the country. The warmer these arguments get, the more violent they grow, the greater our risk of being pulled into the war.

And that is precisely what the pro-war propagandists in this country want to happen—to get us into the thing head over heels.

They know that if the war is discussed objectively and in a cool and sensible manner they will not be able to head us into it. So they are gloating over this increased venom in these war discussions among the people and hoping that it may be the means of pressuring our Government into decided action against the Axis powers and in favor of England.

Brooklyn, N.Y. —J.P.P.

Let's Tell the Facts!

TO THE EDITOR:

I have always been a great admirer of Father Coughlin, have listened to his broadcasts for many years, and only missed those when circumstances made it impossible for me.

It is lamentable that at this critical hour when those who proclaim that democracy, free speech, free press, is in peril, destroy all vestige of these things when it affects them. It is also notable that they are the most active to push America into war, with their controlled press, radio and cinema.

Observe the different names and who they represent, the columnists and commentators, as well as those who are active in all organizations. Many of these have sought refuge in America in the last twenty years, to escape the very things they so zealously are endeavoring to fasten upon the American people.

It is also surprising how they prate and parade around as liberals and defenders of democracy. Many of those who came over here in the last five years could have stayed in Europe and aided France and England, and avenged their hate of National Socialism.

Socialism is the next step to Communism. Many or most of these people believed in one or the other. They have been imbued with Socialism and Communism, their patron Karl Marx and Nicoli Lenin's philosophy.

The only way we can get information now is by reading SOCIAL JUSTICE.

Just today I met a friend who read SOCIAL JUSTICE for the first time, and he accidentally got it, by someone distributing the paper in the neighborhood.

Many, when approached, do not like to say "I have never read it" or "I am not interested," but when they receive a paper in such a manner they quietly read it and, in most cases I presume, they become buyers.

Why not get rid of the back numbers, notwithstanding that they are very valuable, because they can do more good in months to come by being in circulation.

—A Subscriber

Philadelphia, Pa.

We Have Our Own Time-Bomb Worries



Copyright, 1940, New York Tribune Inc.
—From the New York Herald-Tribune.

Churchill Was Right

TO THE EDITOR:

One grows so disgusted with this endless stream of pro-British war propaganda that is being handed to us day by day in every newspaper we pick up, every movie and every time we turn on the radio. This old hooey about "our last friendly nation" and "sister Democracy" stuff!

The British empire is not and never was our friend. All down the years since the first Pilgrims fled the tyranny of England they have sought to reclaim us.

One has only to read American history. They lured us into the last World War with lies and false promises, to save their falling empire. They left us with a $5-billion war debt and millions of men dead on the battlefield. Then they not only refuted the debt but gave us no thanks for helping them.

To quote Winston Churchill in an interview with Wm. Griffin, editor of the *New York Enquirer:*

"America's entrance into the World War was disastrous, *had you stayed at home and minded your own business we would have made peace with the Central Powers in the spring of 1917,* and by so doing would have saved the lives of over one-million British and French!"

—D.A.

Toledo, Ohio

Congratulations

TO THE EDITOR:

Congratulations to James Oliver, Congressman recently re-elected to the national House from Portland, Maine. Not only did he vote against the *Burke-Wadsworth conscription bill,* but he is the only Congressman from the six New England states who knows the viciousness of, and the hardship resulting from, the operations of our present unrighteous money system, and has the courage and intelligence to ask Congress to assume this responsibility as provided in the *Constitution.*

No rubber stamp Congressman, no traitor to his constituents is Mr. Oliver. He is serving the people who pay him.

I have yet to hear the voice of a single Congressman from Massachusetts raised against this poverty-producing agency that keeps their people in slavery.

Malden, Mass. —J.A.F.

Letters

U.S. Can't Afford to Silence Truth

TO THE EDITOR:

I am simply appalled at the temerity shown by the "controlled" radio stations, in refusing to sell time to Father Coughlin for his season's broadcasts. It is enough to cause chills of fear in the heart of any real American to know that any foreign group has the power to control speech and press to such an extent.

What can we do now before it is too late and, a still more serious suppression of our precious liberties is accomplished? The real Americans are still a majority here in the United States in spite of all the controlled wealth and power politicians who care more for the ambitions of the British Empire and the U.S.S.R. than they do for Americanism.

Each day seems to disclose some traitorous scheme against our people.

We need Father Coughlin on the air at this time more than ever before. The truth of his broadcasts is gall and wormwood to the conscious and unconscious enemies within our nation.

War and bankruptcy has been planned by the groups who have selfishly controlled the wealth of every rich land or prosperous nation.

America cannot afford to silence the truth.

It will take an army and a dictator to do this. Are they now in the making?

Monroe, Wis. —Mrs. S.W.

Magazine Sold Out

TO THE EDITOR:

I thought you would be interested in my observation of what happened at the Holy Name Cathedral this morning.

I left home early, and had to wait until the people came out from the 11 o'clock mass. As I stood there, I heard the young lady selling SOCIAL JUSTICE call out the different items inclosed between the covers of this National Weekly that carried the headlines, *"Deny Radio Time to Father Coughlin."*

I watched the expression on the faces of the men and women as they came from the church. Some had a look of surprise, others as if some great calamity had struck us, while others had a look of independence and defiance as they walked up to the young lady and man who sold SOCIAL JUSTICE and bought one.

They were sold out as I stood there. I was only sorry that they did not have more to sell.

Chicago, Ill. —M.S.R.

Listener's Protest

TO THE EDITOR:

On account of the broadcasting companies and stations as mentioned in SOCIAL JUSTICE Magazine of September 23, refusing to contract with Father Coughlin for time for his series of lectures, it behooves each and every Coughlin sympathizer to write each station that refused to allow him time—notifying them that on account of their un-American attitude in disallowing him the use of the station, in the future we will do without articles advertised by them.

We will also use our efforts to spread Father Coughlin's views through a wider circulation of SOCIAL JUSTICE.

Louisville, Ky. —M.E.R.

Housewives Protest

TO THE EDITOR:

The same radio stations that will not allow Father Coughlin time for his Sunday afternoon broadcasts, advertise products which are used in every home. We feel that many of us housewives can do without these products until the radio stations feel that they can sell Father Coughlin some broadcasting time.

—Mrs. C.H.
Mrs. M.G.
Cincinnati, Ohio Mrs. L.D.

A Fair Question

TO THE EDITOR:

So Father Coughlin has been refused the time on the radio while the pro-war and pro-British propagandists are running loose in this country spreading war hysteria to protect the interests of those who put Hitler where he is today, and will do the same in this country when the Americans will fall for their line.

Freedom of speech is not for Americans, so it seems, but for those who speak as they are told for the internationalist.

Father Coughlin and those who dare speak in the interests of America are dubbed "Fifth Columnists" or Nazis.

Who is running this country, anyway?

Chicago, Ill. —Mrs. A.M.

A Reader's Tribute to the Priest of the Radio

TO THE EDITOR:

The *New York Times* of Friday, September 27th, reports in part the sermon of the Rev. Dr. M. S. Sheehy, Professor of Religious Education at the Catholic University, Washington, D. C. Dr. Sheehy spoke at the Red Mass under the auspices of the Catholic Lawyers' Guild, Brooklyn, N. Y., at the church of St. Charles Borromeo.

The *New York Times* presumes to infer that the Rev. Dr. Sheehy had in mind the Rev. Charles E. Coughlin when, without mentioning Father Coughlin, Dr. Sheehy said:

"It reflects great credit upon our Catholic laity that it is horrified when a priest so forgets his spiritual office as to take sides publicly in a political campaign" and that "over a period of a century and a half one can count on the fingers of one hand the priests who have so exposed the Church to criticism."

It is assumed that the *New York Times* would have its readers recall the support given to the Hon. William Lemke for the presidency by Father Coughlin, back in 1936.

If this be the case, the *New York Times* must have grossly misjudged the mind of Dr. Sheehy, because in 1936 thousands upon thousands of the Catholic laity urged and implored Father Coughlin to choose and support a candidate for the Presidency of the United States who would be just, God-fearing, and who would preserve our Republic of the United States. And more than 82,000 votes were cast for Mr. Lemke.

The *New York Times* sub-captions its article thus, *"Dr. M. S. Sheehy Commends Catholic Laity for Horror at Clergymen 'Taking Sides.'"*

And then the *Times* gives some more of Rev. Dr. Sheehy's words:

"The business of priests in religion is to form consciences so that the individual will respond to the challenge in a particular situation. And surely, from the point of view of enlightened self-interest, the nation could clamor for more religion in politics, when it comes to forming consciences."

For thirteen years, that was just what Father Coughlin was about, instilling into the hearts, minds, and consciences of the American people their duty to God in their behavior towards their neighbor; warning them of the many pitfalls where there was guilt and deception, regardless of the calumny he knew would come upon him for speaking the truth—this he did at the command of his bishop, the late Most Rev. Michael J. Gallagher, Bishop of Detroit.

And no man has come to the fore to prove Father Coughlin in error. They malign him, they accuse him, they smear him—they silence him—*but they do not and cannot prove him wrong.*

Those who have followed Father Coughlin's discourses and writings have seen unfold the dangers he has warned against, with the exception of one, and that one the best minds of today say is already two-thirds advanced—and *that is war for America.* May God grant, with all honor to the Radio Priest, such prediction shall not come true.

Why have not Father Coughlin's friends and supporters demanded today that their enemies give to Father Coughlin paid time on the air, as they did a few years back?

Because they realize that the Radio Priest's fearless work is better held in abeyance, as there is nothing new to add to his teachings for the present.

Father Coughlin has disclosed to those of this country, not too blind to see, his magnificent priestly qualities: He has fed the hungry, clothed the naked, given work to the unemployed, paid higher than union wages in the building of the Shrine of the Little Flower and a parochial school.

He preached over the air the encyclicals of the Holy Fathers, Leo and Pius. He went, as Leo XIII, and Pius XI, recommended, "to the working man and to the poor." For many years he gathered together the little ones and taught them of Jesus Christ and His Church by means of the radio.

He condemned usury, atheistic Communism, Fascism, Naziism, persecution of Christians in Mexico, Spain, Russia, and the persecution of Jews in Germany.

Tarrytown, N. Y. —A.G.H.

Write Your Station

TO THE EDITOR:

I would like to make an appeal that all readers of SOCIAL JUSTICE Magazine write letters of protest to radio stations that refused to sell time for Father Coughlin's broadcasts.

When Station WGAR stated that they had 15,000 requests from listeners who wished to hear the complete program of the New York Philharmonic Orchestra, I am sure there are even more people who would rather hear Father Coughlin.

This is no longer a land of free speech when time cannot even be bought to speak over the radio by Father Coughlin for fear that he will expose the facts which we people are entitled to know.

Decatur, Ind. —Mrs. E.S.

Asks Telephone Broadcast

TO THE EDITOR:

Remembering how, with great success, the telephone was employed to convey Father Coughlin's voice to a number of mass-meetings held throughout the country, I propose that the method be used again, only in a wider scope.

Would it not be possible to organize a series of mass-meetings in principal cities and towns and at a predetermined hour to connect the meetings with telephone lines direct from Royal Oak?

The expenses could be borne in the different cities and towns participating by a collection at the respective meetings. The only thing required of Father Coughlin would be the use of his voice in expounding truth and reason to a grateful audience.

I make this proposal in view of the fact that certain powerful interests in the radio field refuse to allot him time in which to address the people and I feel sure that these telephone broadcasts would in some measure overcome this unfair and unconstitutional censorship.

I propose that this arrangement be made a monthly affair or oftener if the people so desire.

Realizing that these are critical times in which there is great need for the teachings of this wonderful "Radio Priest," I am sure that these meetings could be made a huge success.

I respectfully invite the criticisms and views of my fellow readers.

Springfield, Mass. —T.S.M.

No Time for Truth

TO THE EDITOR:

Father Coughlin is the best friend that good Christians and all other God-fearing people have. He is the representative of all these people. Why should he be refused time on the air?

Why should TRUTH be banned and maudlin sentiment, untrue propaganda and worse be allowed?

Albert Einstein is not refused time on the air. Yet he tells the world that there is no personal God. Evidently our radio stations think that we can do without God, as long as we have ARITHMETIC.

Worcester, Mass. —T.T.

An Election Year True Story

Camping With the Joads

A RESEARCHER, traveler, and lecturer, speaking from personal experience, writes a letter to the editor which he captions *"Camping With the Joad Family."*

It is a remarkable human document, and we quote from it as follows:

"First, I will give you as an introduction the genealogy of this family of American Joads — the language they speak, where they came from, and where they are headed for.

"In checking, I find this: 75 per cent are the by-products of the New Deal; 7 per cent of them came out of the Dust Bowl; 6 per cent are the direct results of polished bars; perhaps, 4 per cent caused by sun spots (this percentage rises and falls with the thermometer). Some survived the 1920 and 1929 crash.

"Their language is 100 per cent American; their religion is Christian. Thousands are college graduates; other thousands of them are ex-business men and former professional men and women.

"Approximately 2-millions of them are heads of farm families! For it is estimated that 2-million Christian American farmers have lost their homes through foreclosure in the last eight years. Allow five to the family and you have here alone 10-million 'Joads'!

"The only certificate of citizenship that most of these American Christians possess is a notice of a deficiency judgment in their pocket, and a Social Security (?) card, so they can't get away from that judgment.

"They start out to look for work, but there is no work. Orders from State Police are to keep going (without money or gas).

"Ninety per cent of these Joad families are looking for a home. Most of them make first class citizens if given a chance.

"One out of 18 has a flask in his hip pocket. Ten per cent of them are hitch hikers, sleep in 'Hotels de Water Tank'.

"A family Bible is to be found in 90 per cent of their cars.

* * *

"It is estimated that there are 35-million in this American Joad family. Their average income is less than $231 annually.

"Twenty millions of these Americans spend less than five cents for a meal. It is estimated that a million of them eat cat and dog food. (It is inspected by the Government for human consumption!)

"Fifty per cent bury their dead in the great open spaces in hand-made coffins. Sometimes, an ex-minister can be found to give a funeral sermon. Many are buried at night in orchards or along the highway. Ninety per cent of the deaths are from starvation.

"Their bedding, for 75 per cent of them, is empty cotton and grain sacks. In the summer they sleep on these sacks, and in the winter the sacks sleep on top of them.

"When Henry Wallace (candidate for Vice President on a Third Term for the New Deal)—the 'king of the food destruction program'—plowed under the pigs and food, he 'tractored out' millions of this race. Since the 'invisible government has been invited to America to preside over the destiny of the American people,' these Joads were told to get out.

"The Joads in this migration cannot afford medicine for their sick. I have seen as many as four families occupying a one-room shack (without window panes) and a sick mother lying on empty cotton sacks dying from *pellagra*. Not in Soviet Russia, but *right here and now at your neighbor's door!*

"Stark want in the midst of plenty—after eight years of a New Deal with all of its complete power to override *Constitution* and precedents and deal with the nation's emergency!

* * *

"We have taken the homes from 35-million Christian Americans; we have put the husband on the 'bum' or the W.P.A.; put the wife in the insane asylum, the boys in C.C.C. camps to toughen up for war; and the girls in barrooms waiting on drunks. (It is estimated that 1½-million Christian girls are forced to work in those places).

"The European 'Joads' who are coming to America by the hundreds of thousands are not found in this Joad Parade in America. No, they are better off than the Christian American Joads!

"When Hitler took their homes away from his 'Joads,' he did not take a deficiency judgment against them as did our Federal Commission and Land Banks.

"Furthermore, these Hitler Joads are mysteriously supplied with money to buy American ranches! Let a Christian American Joad try to buy a ranch and see if he will get to first base.

"The Joads coming to the United States have a job before they get here. They get American boys' jobs before they even arrive. And the Hitler Joads' girls are not found working in barrooms—those jobs are only for American girls.

"Treat these American Joads as well as we treat the Hitler Joads, and we would not have to draft Americans. They would swamp the recruiting offices in their patriotic ardor to fight and die for the *Stars and Stripes!*

"But take away everything from an American and force him, by destroying his crops and hogs, to trade his home (250,000 H.O.L.C. homes and 3-million farms) for a deficiency judgment and a can of 'Skippy' for his starving pot-bellied child, and you have a soldier who is not very anxious to have his bones bleach on European battlefields—to make the world safe for whom? Certainly not for him, for somebody else.

* * *

"So," continues this remarkable letter, "if the American people are content for the next eight years to have a man occupying the next highest chair in America (and, maybe, the First), let them elect Henry Wallace, the regimenter, whose record was the destruction of 400-million acres of food and 26-million head of livestock, while Americans starved; and at the same time our economic planners *shipped in from foreign countries $16-billion worth of food!*

"If the American Joads, those who can vote, re-elect this ex-king of the Food Destruction Program and his 'master,' they will continue eating 'Skippy'; they can continue on their way without gas in the car, and with a deficiency judgment in their pocket, and a mother dying from *pellagra* while the dog 'Shep' nurses her baby.

"And, Mr. Joad, if you *should* get a job for a few days, remember that 47 cents of that dollar goes for taxes—only the other 53 cents is yours (temporarily).

"If you are satisfied with having this program continued, you will find out also that 99 cents will be taken out of your dollar to help take care of Hitler's 'Joads' and their transportation to America.

"So, Mr. Joad, it won't be long now. It is up to you at the election—and that is only two weeks away.

"If you are still fortunate enough to hold the pink slip on a home and garage, do not be surprised if you look into that garage some morning expecting to find two cars and find, instead, two men.

"They are only tax collectors!"

Is Rabbi Goldberg Anti-Semitic?

JEWS who become Communists cease to be Jews, asserts Rabbi Joshua L. Goldberg in the *"Astoria Center of Israel Bulletin"* (Sept. 13). From his article, reproduced in a recent edition of *The Tablet* of Brooklyn, we quote:

"Communists are un-American. They are also un-Jewish. There are Communists born of Jewish parents. We have this cancer as other groups have it. But I am not of those Jews who spend money on research, bend backwards and pour out tons of literature to prove that Jews are not Communists. What nonsense! It is unnecessary. It is undignified. Why so much clamor to prove the obvious? Why not put it correctly? Communists are not Jews.

"They cease to be Jews as soon as they embrace the Communistic philosophy. We cannot deprive them of their racial origin. But they do not belong to the people of Israel.

"By their very Communism they have eliminated themselves from the Jewish community. They set themselves up against all that we stand for. They are un-Jewish just as much as they are un-American. They care little about the safety of our people. They are the greatest enemies of Judaism.

"Their philosophy demands a liquidation of our spiritual heritage. They stand squarely against us.

"How can any one mistake them for self-respecting, upright, decent Jews? They are a blot on the Jewish name. They don't belong among us.

"Remember what they have done to our scholars and teachers, our citadels of spirituality and our schools?

"Remember what they have done in Palestine. Think of their perverted dialectics, their mockery of our Holy Days and their hate for our religious precepts.

"These people though born of our flesh, are not children of our rich and glorious spirit.

"Their hissing has nothing to do with our Psalms. Their shoutings have nothing to do with our prophets.

"Their crimes have nothing to do with our saints and their cackling has nothing to do with our preachments.

"In this hour, when great decisions face America, when the world is on the crossroads, Communists—Jewish and non-Jewish alike—belong to that motley crowd of betrayers of democracy, who, though parading under various banners, are brothers under the skin."

A Birthday Greeting to Fr. Coughlin

The Staff of SOCIAL JUSTICE, together with our readers throughout the nation, join with the millions in Father Coughlin's former radio audience in extending to the Pastor of the Shrine of the Little Flower at Royal Oak, our heartiest felicitations on the occasion of his birthday, October 25th.

Committees, clubs and study groups in scores of cities are holding their customary birthday parties.

Because there is no broadcast this year—and you all know why—the proceeds of these parties are being sent as a personal gift to Father Coughlin, instead of contributions to the broadcasting fund.

* * *

As for SOCIAL JUSTICE, we reiterate our ambition to hold Father Coughlin's radio audience intact, and keep readers informed of the march of events, so that his radio listeners will be abreast of the times when Father Coughlin does resume his national radio broadcasting. *For he will resume it, eventually, we have no doubt of that.*

In order to carry on the work of Father Coughlin in print, that he would do by speech if he were permitted to speak over the radio, SOCIAL JUSTICE must have the widest possible dissemination.

In this each one of you can help. Our thanks to those thousands who already have enlisted for this renewed circulation drive.

Incidentally, some friend's subscription to SOCIAL JUSTICE Magazine is the most practical gift his thousands of followers could make to Father Coughlin this year.

NATIONAL WEEKLY
Social Justice
Founded 1936 by Father Coughlin

SINGAPORE AND THE EAST

ACCORDING to Mr. Roosevelt, no American boy will be asked to serve outside the Western Hemisphere.

According to the American boys, the Western Hemisphere consists of North and South America.

As indicated in last week's edition of SOCIAL JUSTICE, the American boys are wrong and Kay-Kyser-Roosevelt is right.

The Western Hemisphere, if you look at your geography, takes in Iceland, Ireland, Scotland, most of England, a chunk of France, the major portion of Spain, all of Portugal and the big bulge on the west coast of Africa – not counting other territories.

So Mr. Roosevelt can send American boys to defend London, to recapture France, to mop up Spain and Portugal and to hibernate at Dakar—and still keep his word.

And that's something!

Now comes Singapore.

* * *

With the opening of the Burma Road, enabling Great Britain to send supplies to China to be used against Japan, it is morally certain that Japan will initiate war against Great Britain, the first major offensive of the Nipponese being against Singapore.

Will our foreign policy decide to take over the protectorate of Singapore?

Will America become the protector of down-at-the-knees Britain?

How can we protect Singapore – or Australia or New Zealand – without a competent, capable air force?

The world has a frightful example of what is to be expected from exposing aircraft to shore-based air power.

Is not the lesson taught in the North Sea of any value to our swivel-chair strategists, financialists and diplomats who are willing to defend Great Britain to the last American row boat?

It appears as if the last row boat will be sacrificed.

It appears that, if our present foreign policy is pursued, it will be at the cost of the prestige of the American Navy.

* * *

One cannot build up a national defense for the United States by protecting Singapore, Australia or New Zealand.

And one dare not hope for the retention of our American traditions, if we become entangled in a war which will carry our sons both to England and to India.

Meanwhile, many informed circles are wagering that if the Burma Road is re-opened—and it will be—the United States will find herself at war with Japan shortly following the New Year.

All of which leads us to the conclusion that the forthcoming presidential election is not a contest between Democrats and Republicans, each professing a different domestic policy, so much as it is a contest between war and peace.

Opportunity for School Pupils In Great 'America First' Contest